



SPECIAL ISSUE ARTICLE

Continued availability and sale of pangolins in a major urban bushmeat market in Cameroon despite national bans and the COVID-19 outbreak

Jessica Harvey-Carroll¹ | Franklin T. Simo²  | Timm Sonn-Juul³ | Jean Pierre Tsafack⁴ | Serge J. D. Aka'a^{5,6} | Francis Nchembi Tarla^{5,6} | Andrew Fowler⁶ | Daniel J. Ingram⁷ 

¹School of Psychology and Neuroscience, University of St Andrews, St Andrews, UK

²Laboratory of Zoology, Department of Biology and Animal Physiology, University of Yaoundé I, Yaoundé, Cameroon

³Section of Biology and Environmental Science, Department of Chemistry and Bioscience, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark

⁴Independent Researcher, Yaoundé, Cameroon

⁵Central Africa Bushmeat Action Group (CABAG), Yaoundé, Cameroon

⁶Zoological Society of London –Cameroon, Yaoundé, Cameroon

⁷Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Stirling, Stirling, UK

Correspondence

Daniel J. Ingram, Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Stirling, Stirling FK9 4LA, UK.

Email: daniel.ingram@stir.ac.uk

Funding information

Conservation Action Research Network; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; The Darwin Initiative; Mohamed Bin Zayed Species Conservation Fund

Keywords: Central Africa, Manidae, *Phataginus*, *Smutsia*, viande de brousse, wild meat, zoonoses

1 | INTRODUCTION

Bushmeat (or 'wild meat', defined as the meat of wild animals) is a major source of protein for rural people across sub-Saharan Africa (Ingram et al., 2021), yet some species suffer unsustainable levels of exploitation often to meet the demands of increasing urban populations (Coad et al., 2019; Wilkie et al., 2016). As in the rest of Central Africa, Cameroon has a long history of bushmeat consumption and trade (Bahuchet & Ioveva, 1999; Randolph, 2016). Pangolins (Family: Manidae) have been one of the many groups of species exploited as they are highly valued for food and, in some countries, used as traditional remedies (Soewu et al., 2020). Pangolin meat is favoured for its taste and it remains commonly offered for sale in Cameroonian markets and restaurants (Ingram et al., 2018; Nguyen et al., 2021). Furthermore, Cameroon has become one of the major export countries for the illegal trade in pangolin scales from Africa to Asia (Ingram et al., 2019a), to supply the market for Asian traditional

medicines, for example in traditional Chinese medicine (Wang et al., 2020). All African pangolin species are now considered to have declining population trends (Ingram et al., 2019b; Nixon et al., 2019; Pietersen et al., 2019a, 2019b).

Among the species of pangolin that occur in Cameroon, two are listed as endangered (white-bellied, *Phataginus tricuspis*, and giant, *Smutsia gigantea*; Nixon et al., 2019; Pietersen et al., 2019b) and one as vulnerable (black-bellied, *Phataginus tetradactyla*; Ingram et al., 2019b) on the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. All African pangolin species were transferred to Appendix I of the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora in January 2017 (CITES, 2017). In Cameroon, the giant pangolin has been fully protected since 2006 (listed as a Class A species; Order No. 0648/MINFOF of 18 December 2006), and in 2013, a *Lettre Circulaire* suspended the circulation and exportation of pangolin scales (No.0153/LC/MINFOF/SG/DFAP/SDVEF of 27 June 2013). Following the transfer of all pangolin species to CITES Appendix I

Jessica Harvey-Carroll and Franklin T. Simo contributed equally.

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

© 2022 The Authors. *African Journal of Ecology* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

in 2017, pangolins (listed as *Manis spp.*) were listed as integrally protected in Cameroon (*Lettre Circulaire* No. 0007/LC/MINFOF/DFAP/SDVEF/ of 11 January 2017), prohibiting any capture, detention or trade of live or dead pangolins at the national level. This was confirmed in April 2020 when all three species of pangolin were officially listed separately as Class A on the Cameroonian protected species list (*Arrêté* No. 0053/MINFOF of 1 April 2020).

In 2020, the world's attention focussed on the trade of wild animals during the global pandemic of the novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19). Pangolins received considerable public attention when they were implicated to be an intermediate host of the virus (Heighton & Gaubert, 2021; Zhang et al., 2020). These initial claims have since been questioned as further research emerges (Cyranoski, 2020; Frutos et al., 2020); however, the trade of wild animals remains strongly implicated as a source of the outbreak (Contini et al., 2020; Jacob et al., 2020). In West and Central Africa, outbreaks of other zoonotic diseases such as the Ebola virus disease (EVD) led to a temporary collapse in Nigeria's bushmeat trade in 2014 (Akani et al., 2015). Following the EVD outbreak, local rules banning bushmeat were put in place (McNamara et al., 2020), as with COVID-19 (Koh et al., 2021). However, the effects of such local rules were short-lived and were considered to have made trade more covert (McNamara et al., 2020). Anecdotal media reports have suggested that pangolin sales to Asian customers in Libreville, Gabon, have declined since COVID-19, whereas local Gabonese people are not thought to have been deterred, as they stated they were unaffected by eating bushmeat during EVD (France24, 2020). During the peak of the COVID-19 outbreak, bushmeat markets, which sell pangolins, continued to operate in Nigeria (Meseko et al., 2020); however, the impact of the outbreak has yet to be quantified elsewhere.

Since 2017, the national wildlife legislation pertaining to pangolins has changed in Cameroon, and in 2020, public opinion on pangolins may have changed due to publicised purported links with the coronavirus outbreak. Our objective is to investigate market availability and trends of African pangolin sales since the changes in national legislation and during the COVID-19 outbreak. Using one of the main bushmeat markets in the capital city of Cameroon, Yaoundé, as a case study, we: (1) investigate the rate at which pangolins were brought to the market in 2017/2018; (2) compare the daily availability of pangolins in 2017 and 2020; and (3) investigate trends in pangolin availability on the market as the coronavirus pandemic progressed.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Market surveys

We investigated the availability of pangolins at one of the main open bushmeat markets in the capital city of Cameroon, Yaoundé (Bahuchet & Iloveva, 1999; Edderai & Dame, 2006; Randolph, 2016). Between 2017 and 2020, the bushmeat market had a total of 22 tables of various sizes, inclusive of the main sheltered market and tables in the surrounding streets where bushmeat was sold.

We first compiled market survey data that already existed for the same market from surveys conducted by the Zoological Society of London – Cameroon (ZSL; Aka'a, (2018); Round 1: 10 February 2017 – 19 March 2017 [12 survey days], Round 2: 2 October 2017 – 27 November 2017 [54 survey days], and Round 3: 5 June 2018 – 7 August 2018 [39 survey days]) and from Juul Jensen (2017); 11–29 July 2017 [14 survey days]. The market operated Monday–Saturday from approximately 8 am–6 pm, and it was closed on the last Wednesday of every month for cleaning. Animals were brought to the market by suppliers (middlemen) early in the morning, and all market surveys took place in the morning between 08:00 and 10:00 to ensure that most animals were counted before being sold. Two survey methods were used across studies, and in each case, the species, number of carcasses, state (live, dead/fresh and smoked), unit (number of individuals and pieces) and price (in CFA) were recorded. In the ZSL Round 1 and the Juul Jensen (2017) surveys, all pangolins that were openly offered for sale were counted by an undercover Cameroonian researcher. In the ZSL Round 2 and 3 surveys, pangolins were counted by a market trader as they arrived to the market from middlemen between 07:30 and 18:30. This method meant that only new animals each day were counted, avoiding possible double counting. Traders were trained to identify pangolins and record data in the survey form by the research team and were incentivised with a per diem. Pangolins were identified using morphological characteristics only, following Kingdon (2015). In nearly all cases pangolins could be easily identified. Arboreal pangolins were mostly fresh or live and were always whole. When arboreal pangolins were smoked, we could not distinguish the species and were identified to Genus level only. Giant pangolin pieces were identified based on characteristic markings on the meat where scales had been removed, and informal discussions with market traders.

For this study, we also monitored the availability of pangolins openly offered for sale at the same market for 60 survey days between 30 March 2020 and 26 August 2020. Our market survey therefore started 24 days after the first reported COVID-19 case in Cameroon and spans the following 5 months of the exponential growth phase of the first wave (Mbopi-Keou et al., 2020). Two alternating undercover Cameroonian researchers (who are experienced in identifying pangolins) conducted the market surveys each morning, collecting the same data (except price) as in the earlier surveys. To avoid possible double counting of carcasses in this survey, the market was not surveyed on consecutive days.

2.2 | Analyses

All statistical analyses were conducted in R version 3.6.1. We used data from all survey days (including days when no pangolins were observed for sale) to calculate the mean daily number of arboreal pangolins on the market on any given day before (2017) and during (2020) the pandemic, testing for differences between the two groups using a Welch's two-sample *t*-test. Given the differences in methods, we only included ZSL Round 1 and Juul Jensen (2017) in

the 2017 calculations. As the arboreal pangolins were not identified to species level in ZSL Round 1, we grouped the arboreal species together for this analysis. We did not conduct this analysis for giant pangolins given that they were mostly found in pieces, and it was therefore not clear how many individuals the pieces belonged to.

To investigate trends in the pangolins offered for sale during the 2020 pandemic, we investigated monotonic trends in the daily number of pangolins available overall and per state (live, fresh or smoked) on the market by running Mann-Kendall trend tests using the R package 'kendall'. Autocorrelation was assessed in the data and for time series that were found to be autocorrelated within a 10-day period, a 'Mann-Kendall Test of Pre-Whitened Time Series Data in Presence of Serial Correlation Using Yue and Wang (2002) Approach' was run using the 'modifiedmk' R package (Patakamuri & O'Brien, 2020).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Survey summaries

All three species of pangolin present in Cameroon were recorded in the market surveys (Figure 1). For the two open-market studies that actively minimised double counting and identified all pangolins to species level (Juul Jensen, 2017 and this 2020 study), we calculated the pangolin species composition at the market. In the Juul Jensen (2017) surveys, 98.3% of all pangolin individuals on the market were white-bellied pangolins, whilst giant and black-bellied pangolin accounted for 1.3% and 0.4% respectively. During the 2020 surveys, 99.6% of pangolin individuals were white-bellied pangolin, 0.4% were black-bellied pangolin, and we observed no giant pangolins. Giant pangolins (or pieces of them) were available on 15% of survey days (12/80) in 2017, 18% in 2018 (7/39) and 0% in 2020 (0/60). Arboreal pangolins were available on 81% of survey days in 2017 (65/80), 95% in 2018 (37/39) and 72% in 2020 (43/60). Across all survey days in 2020, arboreal pangolins were live in 62% of cases, followed by fresh (34%) or smoked (4%). In the ZSL surveys (2017/2018), pangolin scales were observed for sale on 7 days, although mostly on subsequent days suggesting fewer independent batches of scales. Giant pangolin scales were nearly double the price of arboreal pangolin scales per kilogram in 2017/2018 (Table 1). During the 2020 survey, white-bellied pangolin scales were also visibly offered for sale on two survey days.

3.2 | Market turnover in 2017/2018

During 54 survey days in October/November 2017 (ZSL Round 2), a total of 333 arboreal pangolins arrived to the market. Two whole giant pangolins were recorded in October, and in November smoked giant pangolin morceau were recorded on 5 days (three or four morceau per day). For the morceau, we cannot derive the exact number of individual giant pangolins. On 39 survey days in June–August 2018 (ZSL Round 3), a total of 477 arboreal pangolins and smoked giant pangolin morceau were recorded on seven days (four



FIGURE 1 Photographs of the surveyed bushmeat market in Yaoundé, Cameroon, showing: (a) Live white-bellied (left) and black-bellied pangolins (right) in 2020 and (b) whole fresh white-bellied pangolin and pieces of giant pangolin in 2017. Photo credits: (a) Franklin T. Simo and (b) Timm Sonn-Juul

– six morceau per day). On average, this equates to 6 new arboreal pangolins arriving to the market each day in the 2017 survey and 12 new arboreal pangolins per day in the 2018 survey.

3.3 | Pre- and during COVID-19 comparison

Arboreal pangolins were documented in both the 2017/2018 surveys and the 2020 survey, whereas giant pangolins were only observed during the 2017/2018 surveys. We found a significant decrease in the mean daily market counts of arboreal pangolins during COVID-19 when compared to 2017 (Figure 2; $t[26.7] = 4.98$, $p < 0.001$). The mean in 2017 was 16.5 pangolins (median = 12.5), whereas in 2020 it was 3.75 pangolins (median = 3).

3.4 | Pangolins on the market during the COVID-19 pandemic

We documented the persistent presence of arboreal pangolins at the market during 2020 from March–August (Figure 3). We found no significant monotonic trends in the daily number of arboreal pangolins

TABLE 1 Prices of pangolins and their derivatives in 2017/2018 Central African Francs (CFA) on the surveyed market, Cameroon

| Genus | No. prices | Part | Unit | State | Mean unit price \pm SD (CFA) |
|-------------------|------------|--------|---------|------------|--------------------------------|
| <i>Phataginus</i> | 5 | Scales | Kg | Fresh | 8100 \pm 11,177 |
| <i>Smutsia</i> | 3 | Scales | Kg | Fresh | 15,000 \pm 8660 |
| <i>Smutsia</i> | 12 | Meat | Morceau | Smoked | 18,833 \pm 15,440 |
| <i>Phataginus</i> | 118 | Whole | Whole | All states | 10,758 \pm 4276 |




FIGURE 2 Mean daily count \pm standard deviation of arboreal pangolins (*Phataginus* spp.) identified on market surveys in 2017 and 2020

present on markets during the coronavirus pandemic ($\tau_b = -0.14$, $p = 0.13$). When separated by state, we also found no significant monotonic trends in the daily market counts for live ($\tau_b = 0.0018$, $p = 0.99$), fresh ($\tau_b = -0.16$, $p = 0.071$) or smoked ($\tau_b = -0.12$, $p = 0.28$) arboreal pangolins.

4 | DISCUSSION

We have documented the availability of pangolins in a major urban bushmeat market in Cameroon following national bans in the trade of the species and during the COVID-19 pandemic. We identified a significant decrease in the average number of arboreal pangolins offered for sale per day at a major bushmeat market in the capital city between 2017 and 2020, but we cannot distinguish whether this was specifically due to the national bans or the coronavirus outbreak. However, despite the prohibition of pangolin trade, new legislation listing all pangolins as integrally protected species within Cameroon, and the COVID-19 pandemic, we found that arboreal pangolins were persistently and openly offered for sale throughout 2020, and the daily number of pangolins available during this

period remained consistent. Arboreal pangolins were observed on 72% of survey days in 2020, and most pangolins were alive (62%). Furthermore, despite national bans in giant pangolin trade since 2006, pieces of giant pangolin meat and some whole carcasses were observed in 2017/2018, although not during the 2020 survey (however one whole giant pangolin was observed by the researchers on the market on a non-survey day –27 May). These results are likely indicative of the infrequent enforcement of protected species laws at the market, as also observed in a year-long ethnographic study of the same market in 2009/2010 (Randolph, 2016). Given that giant pangolins have been legally protected for longer, trade in giant pangolins is highly likely to have gone underground. At our survey market, many traders have private storerooms and freezers for meat (Randolph, 2016), and in the past other protected species such as gorillas and elephants were available as smoked meat at the same market (Bahuchet & loveva, 1999). Our results may also reflect a decline in wild giant pangolins (Nixon et al., 2019), which has been reported in some parts of Cameroon (Abugiche, 2008; Laurent, 1992; Mouté, 2010; Ngoufo et al., 2014).

The widespread media reports that COVID-19 purportedly originated from pangolins (Cyranoski, 2020; Frutos et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020) could have had an impact on the availability of pangolins at this market by either (1) reducing consumer demand or (2) impacting bushmeat supply chains from rural to urban areas, as we found a significant decrease in the average number of arboreal pangolins available per day between 2017 and 2020. However, from our data, we are not able to tell whether this decline is due to the change in laws or the COVID-19 outbreak. Given that we documented persistent availability of arboreal pangolins (all states) throughout the 2020 survey during the pandemic, our results likely reflect the belief that bushmeat is safe to eat as it has been 'eaten for generations without consequences' as reported elsewhere (Bonwitt et al., 2018; France24, 2020; Saylor et al., 2021; Subramanian, 2012). Our results mirror those found for other investigations of bushmeat trade or consumption during zoonotic disease outbreaks despite to a much lower extent. The most recent zoonotic outbreak before COVID-19, EVD is thought to originate from bushmeat. As a first step to control the EVD outbreak in 2014, a blanket ban on bushmeat hunting and consumption was ordered in West Africa (Akani et al., 2015; Bonwitt et al., 2018; Leroy et al., 2009). In urban markets in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria during the EVD outbreak, an immediate cessation in the availability of bushmeat species associated with the disease was documented (Funk et al., 2021). In Guinea, there was a significant reduction in the reported consumption of bats and




FIGURE 3 Mean \pm SD daily market counts of the number of arboreal pangolins (*Phataginus* spp.) offered for sale during March–August 2020, separated by the state of the individual. Total survey days within each month (n) are presented below the bars

chimpanzees (which were reportedly associated with EVD) among rural and urban residents during an EVD outbreak, but no changes in reported consumption of five other faunal groups investigated (Duonamou et al., 2020).

In conclusion, we observed that pangolins were available at a major bushmeat market in the capital city of Cameroon despite both national bans and a zoonotic disease outbreak. The continuation of the bushmeat trade in general is likely due to embedded socio-cultural factors around the consumption of bushmeat, and the opportunities for informal employment of the bushmeat trade offers (Chausson et al., 2019; Ingram, 2020; Randolph, 2016). Our results suggest that the trade in pangolins for bushmeat is ongoing, albeit at a lower rate, driven by high sales value of meat and scales, consumer demand, and is enabled by a lack of law enforcement. Our findings suggest that increased enforcement of protected species regulations is required in Cameroon, especially in urban areas, to ensure such species are not sold in urban markets and restaurants. Research is also needed to assess urban wild meat consumers' attitudes towards wild meat/pangolin consumption, zoonotic disease risk and new national legislations, to allow more critical analyses of urban consumption behaviours. Further actions will also be needed to reduce consumer demand for bushmeat in urban areas and transition bushmeat traders into alternative livelihood avenues.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

DJI is supported by funding from the USFWS. FTS is supported by funding from the CARN. The ZSL market surveys conducted in 2017 and 2018 were funded by the Darwin Initiative. TS-J was supported by Mohamed Bin Zayed Species Conservation Fund.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None declared.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the data providers upon request.

ORCID

Franklin T. Simo  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2607-9648>

Daniel J. Ingram  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5843-220X>

REFERENCES

- Abugiche, S. A. (2008). *Impact of hunting and bushmeat trade on biodiversity loss in Cameroon: A case study of the Banyang-Mbo wildlife sanctuary*. Brandenburg University of Technology. PhD Thesis.
- Aka'a, S. J. D. (2018). *Analysis of bushmeat flows and pangolin trade in Cameroon*. ZSL. Unpublished Report.
- Akani, G. C., Dendi, D., & Luiselli, L. (2015). Ebola virus effects on the bushmeat trade in West Africa. *African Journal of Ecology*, 53, 613–615. <https://doi.org/10.1111/aje.12231>
- Bahuchet, S., & loveva, K. (1999). De la forêt au marché: le commerce de gibier au sud Cameroun. In S. Bahuchet, H. Bley, N. Pagezy, & N. Vernazza-Licht(Eds.), *L'homme et la forêt tropicale* (pp. 533–558). Travaux Societe Ecologie Humaine.
- Bonwitt, J., Dawson, M., Kandeh, M., Ansumana, R., Sahr, F., Brown, H., & Kelly, A. H. (2018). Unintended consequences of the 'bushmeat ban' in West Africa during the 2013–2016 Ebola virus disease epidemic. *Social Science and Medicine*, 200, 166–173. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2017.12.028>
- Chausson, A. M., Rowcliffe, J. M., Escoufflaire, L., Wieland, M., & Wright, J. H. (2019). Understanding the sociocultural drivers of urban bushmeat consumption for behaviour change interventions in Pointe Noire, Republic of Congo. *Human Ecology*, 47, 179–191.

- CITES. (2017). *Amendments to the conservation of and trade in pangolins. Seventeenth Meeting of the Conference of the Parties Johannesburg, South Africa, 24 September – 5 October 2016*. In Seventeenth Meeting of the Conference of the Parties Johannesburg, South Africa, 24 September – 5 October 2016. CITES Secretariat (2017).
- Coad, L., Fa, J. E., Abernethy, K., Van Vliet, N., Santamaria, C., Wilkie, D., Bizri, H. R. E., Ingram, D. J., Cawthorn, D. M., & Nasi, R. (2019). *Toward a sustainable, participatory and inclusive wild meat sector*. Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Contini, C., Nuzzo, M. D., Barp, N., Bonazza, A., de Giorgio, R., Tognon, M., & Rubino, S. (2020). The novel zoonotic COVID-19 pandemic: An expected global health concern. *Journal of Infection in Developing Countries*, 14, 254–264. <https://doi.org/10.3855/jidc.12671>
- Cyranoski, D. (2020). Mystery deepens over animal source of coronavirus. *Nature*, 579, 18–19. <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-020-00548-w>
- Duonamou, L., Konate, A., Djossou, S. D., Mensah, G. A., Xu, J., & Humle, T. (2020). Consumer perceptions and reported wild and domestic meat and fish consumption behavior during the Ebola epidemic in Guinea, West Africa. *PeerJ*, 8, e9229. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.9229>
- Edderaï, D., & Dame, M. (2006). A census of the commercial bushmeat market in Yaoundé, Cameroon. *Oryx*, 40, 472–475. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605306001256>
- France24. (2020). *Pangolin sales plunge in Gabon over coronavirus fears*. [Online] Retrieved from: <https://www.france24.com/en/20200316-pangolin-sales-plunge-in-gabon-over-coronavirus-fears> Accessed on 22/04/2021.
- Frutos, R., Serra-Cobo, J., Chen, T., & Devaux, C. A. (2020). COVID-19: Time to exonerate the pangolin from the transmission of SARS-CoV-2 to humans. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution*, 84, 104493. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2020.104493>
- Funk, S. M., Fa, J. E., Ajong, S. N., Eniang, E. A., Dendi, D., Di Vittorio, M., Petrozzi, F., Amadi, N. K., Akani, G. C., & Luiselli, L. (2021). Pre- and post-Ebola outbreak trends in wild meat trade in West Africa. *Biological Conservation*, 255, 109024. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2021.109024>
- Heighton, S. P., & Gaubert, P. (2021). A timely systematic review on pangolin research, commercialization, and popularization to identify knowledge gaps and produce conservation guidelines. *Biological Conservation*, 256, 109042. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2021.109042>
- Ingram, D. J. (2020). Wild meat in changing times. *Journal of Ethnobiology*, 40, 117–130. <https://doi.org/10.2993/0278-0771-40.2.117>
- Ingram, D. J., Coad, L., Abernethy, K. A., Maisels, F., Stokes, E. J., Bobo, K. S., Breuer, T., Gandiwa, E., Ghiurghi, A., Greengrass, E., Holmern, T., Kamgaing, T. O. W., Ndong Obiang, A. M., Poulsen, J. R., Schleicher, J., Nielsen, M. R., Solly, H., Vath, C. L., Waltert, M., ... Scharlemann, J. P. W. (2018). Assessing Africa-wide Pangolin exploitation by scaling local data. *Conservation Letters*, 11, e12389. <https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12389>
- Ingram, D. J., Coad, L., Milner-Gulland, E. J., Parry, L., Wilkie, D., Bakarr, M. I., Benítez-López, A., Bennett, E. L., Bodmer, R., Cowlshaw, G., El Bizri, H. R., Eves, H. E., Fa, J. E., Golden, C. D., & Abernethy, K. (2021). Wild meat is still on the menu: Progress in wild meat research, policy and practice from 2002–2020. *Annual Review in Environment and Resources*, 46, 221–254.
- Ingram, D. J., Cronin, D. T., Challender, D. W. S., Venditti, D. M., & Gonder, M. K. (2019a). Characterising trafficking and trade of pangolins in the Gulf of Guinea. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, 17, e00576. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2019.e00576>
- Ingram, D. J., Shirley, M. H., Pietersen, D., Godwill Ichu, I., Sodeinde, O., Moumbolou, C., Hoffman, M., Gudehus, M., & Challender, D. (2019b). *Phataginus tetradactyla*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2019: e.T12766A123586126. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2019-3.RLTS.T12766A123586126.en> Downloaded on 23 April 2021
- Jacob, M. C. M., Feitosa, I. S., & Albuquerque, U. P. (2020). Animal-based food systems are unsafe: Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) fosters the debate on meat consumption. *Public Health Nutrition*, 23, 3250–3255. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980020002657>
- Juul Jensen, T. J. (2017). *Snakes of Africa-exploitation and conservation*. Aalborg University. Unpublished MSc Thesis.
- Kingdon, J. (2015). *The Kingdon field guide to African mammals*, 2nd ed. Princeton University Press.
- Koh, L. P., Li, Y., & Lee, J. S. H. (2021). The value of China's ban on wildlife trade and consumption. *Nature Sustainability*, 4, 2–4. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-020-00677-0>
- Laurent, E. (1992). *Wildlife utilisation survey of villages surrounding the Rumpi Hills Forest Reserve*. A report prepared for the GTZ_Korup Project.
- Leroy, E. M., Epelboin, A., Mondonge, V., Pourrut, X., Gonzalez, J. P., Muyembe-Tamfum, J. J., & Formenty, P. (2009). Human ebola outbreak resulting from direct exposure to fruit bats in Luebo, Democratic Republic of Congo, 2007. *Vector-Borne and Zoonotic Diseases*, 9, 723–728. <https://doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2008.0167>
- Mbopi-Keou, F. X., Pondi, J. E., & Sosso, M. A. (2020). COVID-19 in Cameroon: A crucial equation to resolve. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 20, 1367–1368. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(20\)30373-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30373-X)
- McNamara, J., Robinson, E. J. Z., Abernethy, K., Midoko Iponga, D., Sackey, H. N. K., Wright, J. H., & Milner-Gulland, E. (2020). COVID-19, systemic crisis, and possible implications for the wild meat trade in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Environmental and Resource Economics*, 76, 1045–1066. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10640-020-00474-5>
- Meseko, C., Shittu, I., & Adediji, A. (2020). The bush meat trade thrives in Nigeria despite anxiety over coronavirus. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 114, 639–641. <https://doi.org/10.1093/trstmh/traa060>
- Mouté, A. (2010). *Etat des lieux et perspectives de gestion durable de la chasse villageoise en peripherie nord-est du Parc National de Korup, region du Sud-ouest Cameroun*. Université de Dschang.
- Ngoufo, R., Yongyeh, N., Obioha, E., Bobo, K., Jimoh, S., & Waltert, M. (2014). Social norms and cultural services - community belief system and use of wildlife products in the Northern periphery of the Korup National Park, South-West Cameroon. *Change and Adaptation in Socio-Ecological Systems*, 1, 26–34. <https://doi.org/10.2478/cass-2014-0003>
- Nguyen, L. B., Fossung, E. E., Affana Nkoa, C., & Humle, T. (2021). Understanding consumer demand for bushmeat in urban centers of Cameroon with a focus on pangolin species. *Conservation Science and Practice*, 3(6), e00419.
- Nixon, S., Pietersen, D., Challender, D., Hoffman, M., Godwill Ichu, I., Bruce, T., Ingram, D. J., Matthews, N., & Shirley, M. H. (2019). *Smutsia gigantea*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2019: e.T12762A123584478. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2019-3.RLTS.T12762A123584478.en> Downloaded on 23 April 2021.
- Patakamuri, S. K., & O'Brien, N. (2020). *modifiedmk: Modified Versions of Mann Kendall and Spearman's Rho Trend Tests*. R package version 1.5.0. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=modifiedmk>
- Pietersen, D., Jansen, R., & Connolly, E. (2019a). *Smutsia temminckii*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2019: e.T12765A123585768. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2019-3.RLTS.T12765A123585768.en> Downloaded on 23 April 2021.
- Pietersen, D., Moumbolou, C., Ingram, D. J., Soewu, D., Jansen, R., Sodeinde, O., Keboy Mov Linkey I flankoy, C., Challender, D., & Shirley, M. H. (2019b). *Phataginus tricuspis*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2019: e.T12767A123586469. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2019-3.RLTS.T12767A123586469.en> Downloaded on 23 April 2021.

- Randolph, S. G. (2016). *The social, economic and cultural dimensions of bushmeat in Yaoundé, Cameroon*. Stanford University. PhD Thesis.
- Saylors, K. E., Mouiche, M. M., Lucas, A., McIver, D. J., Matsida, A., Clary, C., Maptue, V. T., Euren, J. D., LeBreton, M., & Tamoufe, U. (2021). Market characteristics and zoonotic disease risk perception in Cameroon bushmeat markets. *Social Science and Medicine*, 268, 113358. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2020.113358>
- Soewu, D., Ingram, D. J., Jansen, R., Sodeinde, O., & Pietersen, D. W. (2020). Bushmeat and beyond: Historic and contemporary use in Africa. In: D. Challender, H. Nash & C. Waterman(Eds.), *Pangolins: Science, society and conservation* (pp. 241–258). Academic Press, Elsevier.
- Subramanian, M. (2012). Zoonotic disease risk and the bushmeat trade: Assessing awareness among hunters and traders in Sierra Leone. *EcoHealth*, 9, 471–482. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10393-012-0807-1>
- Wang, Y., Turvey, S. T., & Leader-Williams, N. (2020). Knowledge and attitudes about the use of pangolin scale products in Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM) within China. *People and Nature*, 2, 903–912. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pan3.10150>
- Wilkie, D. S., Wieland, M., Boulet, H., Le Bel, S., van Vliet, N., Cornelis, D., BriacWarnon, V., Nasi, R., & Fa, J. E. (2016). Eating and conserving bushmeat in Africa. *African Journal of Ecology*, 54, 402–414. <https://doi.org/10.1111/aje.12392>
- Zhang, T., Wu, Q., & Zhang, Z. (2020). Probable pangolin origin of SARS-CoV-2 associated with the COVID-19 outbreak. *Current Biology*, 30, 1346–1351. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2020.03.022>

How to cite this article: Harvey-Carroll, J., Simo, F. T., Sonn-Juul, T., Tsafack, J. P., Aka'a, S. J. D., Nchembi Tarla, F., Fowler, A., & Ingram, D. J. (2022). Continued availability and sale of pangolins in a major urban bushmeat market in Cameroon despite national bans and the COVID-19 outbreak. *African Journal of Ecology*, 60, 146–152. <https://doi.org/10.1111/aje.12969>