

Rowlinson P (2010) On multiple eigenvalues of trees, *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 432 (11), pp. 3007-3011.

**This is the peer reviewed version of this article**

*NOTICE: this is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in Linear Algebra and Its Applications. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in Linear Algebra and Its Applications, [VOL 432, ISS 11 (2010)] DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2010.01.003>*

# ON MULTIPLE EIGENVALUES OF TREES

**P. Rowlinson**

Department of Computing Science and Mathematics  
University of Stirling, Stirling FK9 4LA, Scotland

Email: [p.rowlinson@stirling.ac.uk](mailto:p.rowlinson@stirling.ac.uk)

Tel: +44 1786 467468

Fax: +44 1786 464551

**Abstract.** Let  $T$  be a tree of order  $n > 6$  with  $\mu$  as a positive eigenvalue of multiplicity  $k$ . Star complements are used to show that (i) if  $k > n/3$  then  $\mu = 1$ , (ii) if  $\mu = 1$  then, without restriction on  $k$ ,  $T$  has  $k + 1$  pendant edges that form an induced matching. The results are used to identify the trees with a non-zero eigenvalue of maximum possible multiplicity.

**Keywords:** Graph, tree, eigenvalue, star complement.

**AMS Classification:** 05C50

# 1 Introduction

Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n > 2$  with an eigenvalue  $\mu$  of multiplicity  $k$ . (Thus the corresponding eigenspace of a  $(0, 1)$ -adjacency matrix of  $G$  has dimension  $k$ .) If  $\mu = -1$  then  $k \leq n - 1$ , a bound attained in the complete graph  $K_n$ . If  $\mu = 0$  and  $G$  is connected then  $k \leq n - 2$ , a bound attained in the star  $K_{1, n-1}$ . If  $\mu \neq -1$  or  $0$  and  $n > 4$  then  $k \leq n + \frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{2n + \frac{1}{4}}$ , a bound attained when  $\mu = -2$  and  $n = 36$ . This last inequality is a reformulation of [1, Theorem 2.3].

For bipartite graphs, reduced upper bounds follow immediately from the fact that the spectrum is symmetric about 0. For example,  $k \leq \frac{1}{2}n$  when  $\mu \neq 0$ ; moreover, if  $\mu^2$  is not an integer then  $\mu$  has an algebraic conjugate  $\mu^*$  such that  $\mu, -\mu, \mu^*, -\mu^*$  are distinct eigenvalues of multiplicity  $k$ , and so  $k \leq \frac{1}{4}n$ . We investigate the structure of a tree  $T$  for which  $k > \frac{1}{3}n$  and  $\mu \neq 0$ ; we may assume that  $\mu > 0$ . In this case, if  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are the eigenvalues of  $T$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 = 2(n-1)$  and so  $\frac{2}{3}n\mu^2 < 2n$ ; we conclude that  $\mu = 1$  or  $\sqrt{2}$ . We shall see that  $\mu = 1$ , and this is the motivation for studying the case  $\mu = 1$  in general – that is without any restriction on  $k$ . It turns out that, with two exceptions,  $T$  has  $k + 1$  endvertices whose neighbours constitute an independent set of size  $k + 1$ . The exceptions are  $K_2$  and  $Y_6$ , where  $Y_6$  is the unique tree of order 6 with two (adjacent) vertices of degree 3. As a consequence we are able to identify the trees with a non-zero eigenvalue of maximum possible multiplicity.

We use star complements, defined as follows for any finite graph  $G$ . A *star set* for  $\mu$  in  $G$  is a subset  $X$  of the vertex-set  $V(G)$  such that  $|X| = k$  and the induced subgraph  $G - X$  does not have  $\mu$  as an eigenvalue. In this situation,  $G - X$  is called a *star complement* for  $\mu$  in  $G$ . We recall various properties of star complements from [3, Chapter 5].

- (SC1) Star sets and star complements exist for any eigenvalue of any graph.
- (SC2) If  $G$  is connected, and if  $L$  is a connected induced subgraph of  $G$  without  $\mu$  as an eigenvalue, then  $G$  has a star set  $X$  for  $\mu$  such that  $G - X$  is a connected graph containing  $L$ .
- (SC3) Suppose that  $G$  has  $\mu$  as an eigenvalue of multiplicity  $k$ . If  $X$  is a star set for  $\mu$  in  $G$  and if  $S$  is a proper subset of  $X$  then  $G - S$  has  $\mu$  as an eigenvalue of multiplicity  $k - |S|$ .
- (SC4) Let  $V(G) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , and let  $A$  be the adjacency matrix of  $G$ . Let  $P$  be the matrix which represents the orthogonal projection of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  onto the eigenspace  $\mathcal{E}_A(\mu)$  with respect to the standard orthonormal basis  $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then the subset  $X$  of  $V(G)$  is a star set for  $\mu$  in  $G$  if and only if the vectors  $P\mathbf{e}_i$  ( $i \in X$ ) form a basis for  $\mathcal{E}_A(\mu)$ .
- (SC5) If  $\mu \neq -1$  or  $0$ , if  $X$  is a star set for  $\mu$  in  $G$ , and if  $H = G - X$  then the  $H$ -neighbourhoods of vertices in  $X$  are non-empty and distinct.
- (SC6) Suppose that  $G$  has  $\mu$  as an eigenvalue of multiplicity  $k$ . Let  $X$  be a set of  $k$  vertices in the graph  $G$  and suppose that  $G$  has adjacency matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} A_X & B^T \\ B & C \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $A_X$  is the adjacency matrix of the subgraph induced by  $X$ . Then  $X$  is a star set for  $\mu$  in  $G$  if and only if  $\mu$  is not an eigenvalue of  $C$  and

$$\mu I - A_X = B^\top (\mu I - C)^{-1} B.$$

The matrix  $P$  of (SC4) is a polynomial in  $A$  [3, p.4] and so  $\mu P\mathbf{e}_v = AP\mathbf{e}_v = PA\mathbf{e}_v = \sum_{u \sim v} P\mathbf{e}_u$ , where we write  $u \sim v$  to mean that vertices  $u$  and  $v$  are adjacent. More generally, for any  $\mu$ -eigenvector  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^\top$ , we have  $\mu x_j = \sum_{i \sim j} x_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ), and these equations are called the *eigenvalue equations* for  $\mathbf{x}$ . We shall also require the following observation:

**Lemma 1.1.** *If  $u, v$  are adjacent vertices in a star set for  $G$  then the edge  $uv$  is not a bridge of  $G$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose by way of contradiction that  $G$  is obtained from disjoint graphs  $H, K$  by joining the vertex  $u$  of  $H$  to the vertex  $v$  of  $K$ . Then the characteristic polynomial  $P_G(x)$  of  $G$  is given by the following formula of Heilbrunner [4]:

$$P_G(x) = P_H(x)P_K(x) - P_{H-u}(x)P_{K-v}(x). \quad (1)$$

We also have:

$$P_{G-u}(x) = P_{H-u}(x)P_K(x), \quad P_{G-v}(x) = P_H(x)P_{K-v}(x), \quad P_{G-u-v}(x) = P_{H-u}(x)P_{K-v}(x).$$

(Here we take the characteristic polynomial of an empty graph to be 1.) If  $\mu$  is an eigenvalue of  $G$  of multiplicity  $m_G(\mu) = k$ , and  $u, v$  lie in a star set for  $\mu$ , we deduce from (SC3) that

$$k - 1 = m_{G-u}(\mu) + m_K(\mu), \quad k - 1 = m_H(\mu) + m_{K-v}(\mu), \quad k - 2 = m_{H-u}(\mu) + m_{K-v}(\mu). \quad (2)$$

It follows from (2) that  $m_{H-u}(\mu) = m_H(\mu) - 1$  and  $m_{K-v}(\mu) = m_K(\mu) - 1$ . Hence  $k = m_H(\mu) + m_K(\mu)$ , and from Equation (1) we have the contradiction  $(x - \mu)^k | P_{H-u}(x)P_{K-v}(x)$ .  $\square$

## 2 Star complements in trees

Suppose that  $T$  is a tree of order  $n$  with  $\mu$  as a non-zero eigenvalue of multiplicity  $k$ . Let  $X$  be a star set for  $\mu$  such that  $T - X$  is connected. Thus the star complement  $T - X$  is a tree  $H$  of order  $n - k$ . Since  $T$  has no cycles, we can deduce the following in turn using property (SC5). First, each vertex  $u$  in  $X$  is adjacent to a unique vertex  $u'$  of  $H$ . Secondly, if  $u, v$  are distinct vertices of  $X$  then  $u' \neq v'$ . Thirdly,  $X$  is an independent set. It follows that the vertices in  $X$  are endvertices. For each  $u \in X$ , we have  $\mu P\mathbf{e}_u = P\mathbf{e}_{u'}$ , and so by (SC4), the vertices  $u'$  ( $u \in X$ ) also form a star set for  $\mu$ . Since every edge of  $T$  is a bridge, it follows from Lemma 1.1 that the vertices  $u'$  ( $u \in X$ ) are independent. Thus the  $k$  pendant edges  $uu'$  ( $u \in X$ ) constitute an induced matching (that is, their vertices induce  $kK_2$ ). Explicitly, we have:

**Proposition 2.1** *Let  $T$  be a tree with  $\mu$  as a non-zero eigenvalue of multiplicity  $k$ . If  $X$  is a star set for  $\mu$  in  $T$  such that  $T - X$  is connected, then each vertex in  $X$  has degree 1, and the neighbours of vertices in  $X$  constitute an independent set of size  $k$  in  $T - X$ .*

We first use Proposition 2.1 to prove:

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $T$  be a tree of order  $n$  with  $\mu$  as a positive eigenvalue of multiplicity  $k$ . If  $k > \frac{1}{3}n$  then  $\mu = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Applying (SC2) with  $L$  a trivial graph, we see that  $T$  has a star set  $X$  for  $\mu$  such that  $T - X$  is connected. We use the notation of (SC6). By Proposition 2.1, we have  $A_X = O$ , and so

$B^\top(\mu I - C)^{-1}B = \mu I$ ; moreover, vertices may be labelled so that  $B$  has the form  $\begin{pmatrix} I \\ O \end{pmatrix}$  and  $C$  has the form  $\begin{pmatrix} O & M^\top \\ M & N \end{pmatrix}$ . Hence  $(\mu I - C)^{-1}$  has the form  $\begin{pmatrix} \mu I & E^\top \\ E & F \end{pmatrix}$  and we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mu I & -M^\top \\ -M & \mu I - N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu I & E^\top \\ E & F \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & O \\ O & I \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that  $\mu^2 I - M^\top E = I$ . Since  $n < 3k$  the number of rows of  $E$  is less than  $k$ , and so there exists a non-zero vector  $\mathbf{x}$  such that  $E\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ . Now  $\mu^2 \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}$ , and the result follows.  $\square$

We now investigate the case  $\mu = 1$ , without any restriction on  $k$ . We write  $\mathcal{E}$  for the eigenspace of 1, and  $N_d(v)$  for the subgraph induced by vertices at distance at most  $d$  from the vertex  $v$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $T$  be a tree with 1 as an eigenvalue of multiplicity  $k$ . If  $T \neq K_2$  or  $Y_6$  then  $T$  has  $k + 1$  pendant edges that form an induced matching.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $T$  is a counterexample to the statement of the theorem. By (SC2),  $X$  has a star set for 1 such that the star complement  $H = T - X$  is a tree. By Proposition 2.1, each vertex  $u \in X$  has degree 1; moreover, if  $u'$  denotes the neighbour of  $u$  then the vertices  $u'$  ( $u \in X$ ) are distinct and form an independent set in  $H$ . We fix  $u \in X$ . Since  $T \neq K_2$ , we also have  $N_1(u') \neq K_2$ ; thus  $N_1(u')$  is a star without 1 as an eigenvalue. By (SC2),  $T$  has a connected star complement  $H_1 = T - X_1$  containing  $N_1(u')$ . By Proposition 2.1, the  $k$  vertices  $i$  of  $X_1$  are endvertices whose neighbours  $i'$  form an independent set of size  $k$ . Note that this set avoids  $u'$ . By (SC4), the vectors  $Pe_i$  ( $i \in X_1$ ) form a basis for  $\mathcal{E}$ . Also,  $Pe_u \neq \mathbf{0}$ , and so there exists  $w \in X_1$  such that another basis for  $\mathcal{E}$  is obtained when we replace  $Pe_w$  with  $Pe_u$ . Let  $X_2 = \{u\} \cup (X_1 \setminus \{w\})$ . Each vertex in  $X_2$  has degree 1 and so  $Pe_j = Pe_{j'}$  for all  $j \in X_2$ . Since the vectors  $Pe_{j'}$  ( $j \in X_2$ ) form a basis for  $\mathcal{E}$ , the vertices  $j'$  ( $j \in X_2$ ) constitute a star set for 1, and hence are independent by Lemma 1.1. It follows that  $u' \sim w'$  for otherwise the  $k + 1$  edges  $ii'$  ( $i \in X_1 \cup \{u\}$ ) constitute an induced matching.

If  $N_2(u')$  does not have 1 as an eigenvalue then by (SC2), there exists a star set  $X_3$  such that  $T - X_3$  is a tree containing  $N_2(u')$ . But then, with the same notation as above, the  $k + 1$  edges  $ii'$  ( $i \in X_3 \cup \{u\}$ ) constitute an induced matching. Hence 1 is an eigenvalue of  $N_2(u')$ .

Suppose that  $u'$  has  $r$  neighbours of degree 1 and  $t$  neighbours of degree greater than 1. Note that  $r \geq 1$  since  $u \sim u'$ , and  $t \geq 1$  since  $w' \sim u'$ . Moreover,  $u'$  has degree  $r + t > 2$  for otherwise  $Pe_{w'} = \mathbf{0}$  (since then  $Pe_{u'} = Pe_u + Pe_{w'}$ , while  $Pe_u = Pe_{u'}$ ). A similar argument shows that  $w'$  has degree greater than 2. We let  $u_1, \dots, u_t$  be the neighbours of degree greater than 1, and consider separately the two possibilities (a)  $N_2(u')$  has a 1-eigenvector  $\mathbf{x}$  with  $u'$ -entry 1, (b) all 1-eigenvectors of  $N_2(u')$  have  $u'$ -entry 0.

*Case (a).* If  $u_i$  has degree  $d_i$  and the  $u_i$ -entry of  $\mathbf{x}$  is  $a_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, t$ ), then we find from the eigenvalue equations for  $\mathbf{x}$  that

$$1 = r + a_1 + \dots + a_t, \quad a_i = 1 + (d_i - 1)a_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, t),$$

whence  $d_i > 2$  ( $i = 1, \dots, t$ ) and

$$r = 1 + \frac{1}{d_1 - 2} + \frac{1}{d_2 - 2} - \dots + \frac{1}{d_t - 2}. \quad (3)$$

Eigenvalue equations also show that  $N_2(u')$  has no 1-eigenvector with  $u'$ -entry 0, and so 1 is a simple eigenvalue of  $N_2(u')$ . Hence if  $N_2(u') = T$  then  $k = 1$ , while  $N_2(u')$  does not have an induced matching consisting of two pendant edges. Therefore  $t = 1$  and it follows from Equation (3) that  $d_1 = 3$  and  $r = 2$ ; but then  $T = Y_6$ , a contradiction. Thus  $N_2(u') \neq T$  and without loss of generality  $T$  has an edge  $pq$  with  $p \sim u_t$  and  $q \neq u'$ . Let  $L$  be the induced subgraph of  $T$  obtained from  $N_2(u')$  by adding the edge  $pq$ .

We claim that 1 is not an eigenvalue of  $L$ . To see this, suppose that  $\mathbf{y}$  is a 1-eigenvector of  $L$  with  $u_i$ -entry  $c_i$ . From the eigenvalue equations we see that the  $p, q$ -entries of  $\mathbf{y}$  coincide and so  $c_t = 0$ . We deal first with the case  $t = 1$ . If the  $u'$ -entry of  $\mathbf{y}$  is zero then all entries are zero, a contradiction. If the  $u'$ -entry of  $\mathbf{y}$  is non-zero then  $r = 1$  and so  $u'$  has degree 2, another contradiction. When  $t > 1$ , we find again that the  $u'$  entry of  $\mathbf{y}$  is non-zero, for otherwise  $c_i = (d_i - 1)c_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, t - 1$ ), whence  $c_i = 0$  ( $i = 1, \dots, t$ ) and  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$ . Now the eigenvalue equations yield

$$r = 1 + \frac{1}{d_1 - 2} + \frac{1}{d_2 - 2} - \dots + \frac{1}{d_{t-1} - 2},$$

in contradiction to Equation (3). Thus 1 is not an eigenvalue of  $L$ , and so  $T$  has a star set  $X_4$  for 1 such that  $T - X_4$  is a tree containing  $L$ . For each vertex  $v$  in  $X_4$ , the neighbour  $v'$  of  $v$  is not adjacent to  $u'$ , and so the  $k + 1$  edges  $jj'$  ( $j \in X_4 \cup \{u\}$ ) form an induced matching, a contradiction.

*Case (b).* In this case, let  $\mathbf{z}$  be a 1-eigenvector of  $N_2(u')$  with  $u_i$ -entry  $e_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, t$ ). Since  $e_i = 0 + (d_i - 1)e_i$ , either  $d_i = 2$  or  $e_i = 0$ . We label vertices so that  $u_1 = w'$  and  $d_i > 2$  if and only if  $i = 1, \dots, s$ ; note that  $s < t$  since  $\mathbf{z} \neq \mathbf{0}$ . For  $j = s + 1, \dots, t$ , let  $u_i''$  be the neighbour of  $u_i$  different from  $u'$ . Let  $L_1$  be the graph obtained from  $N_2(u')$  by deleting  $u_{s+1}'', \dots, u_t''$ , and let  $L_2$  be the graph obtained from  $N_2(u')$  by deleting  $u_{s+1}'', \dots, u_t''$  and  $u_{s+1}, \dots, u_t$ . If  $L_1$  has 1 as an eigenvalue then (as above)

$$r + t - s = 1 + \frac{1}{d_1 - 2} - \frac{1}{d_2 - 2} - \dots - \frac{1}{d_s - 2},$$

while if  $L_2$  has 1 as an eigenvalue then

$$r = 1 + \frac{1}{d_1 - 2} - \frac{1}{d_2 - 2} - \dots - \frac{1}{d_s - 2}.$$

Accordingly, one of  $L_1, L_2$ , say  $L'$ , does not have 1 as an eigenvalue. Then there exists a star set  $X_5$  for 1 such that  $T - X_5$  is a tree containing  $L'$ . If  $v'$  is the neighbour of a vertex  $v \in X_5$  then  $v' \neq u_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, s$ ) because  $v$  lies outside  $L'$ , while  $v' \neq u_i$  ( $i = s + 1, \dots, t$ ) because  $P\mathbf{e}_{u'} \neq \mathbf{0}$ . Now the  $k + 1$  edges  $jj'$  ( $j \in X_5 \cup \{u\}$ ) form an induced matching, a final contradiction.  $\square$

Since  $Y_6$  has spectrum  $-2, -1, 0, 0, 1, 2$  we have the following as an immediate consequence of Theorems 2.2 and 2.3:

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $T$  be a tree of order  $n \geq 3$  with  $\mu$  as a positive eigenvalue of multiplicity  $k$ . If  $k > \frac{1}{3}n$  then  $\mu = 1$  and  $T$  has  $k + 1$  pendant edges that form an induced matching.*

We can now identify the trees with an eigenvalue of maximum possible multiplicity. We write  $S(K_{1,h})$  for the tree obtained from the star  $K_{1,h}$  by subdividing each edge.

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $T$  be a tree of order  $n > 6$  with  $\mu$  as an eigenvalue of multiplicity  $k$ .*

- (i) *If  $\mu = 0$  then  $k \leq n - 2$ , with equality if and only if  $T = K_{1,n-1}$ .*
- (ii) *If  $\mu \neq 0$  and  $n$  is odd, then  $k \leq \frac{1}{2}(n-3)$ , with equality if and only if  $\mu = \pm 1$  and  $T = S(K_{1,k+1})$ .*
- (iii) *If  $\mu \neq 0$  and  $n$  is even, then  $k \leq \frac{1}{2}(n-4)$ , with equality if and only if  $\mu = \pm 1$  and  $T$  is obtained from  $S(K_{1,k+1})$  by adding a pendant edge at the central vertex.*

*Proof.* If  $\mu = 0$  and  $k \geq n - 2$  then, by interlacing,  $T$  has no induced path of length 3 and the first assertion follows. In the remaining cases we may assume that  $\mu > 0$ . For  $n = 7, 8, 9, 10$  the result follows by inspection of the spectra listed in Table 2 of the Appendix to [2]. Accordingly, we suppose that  $n > 10$ .

If  $n$  is odd and  $k \geq \frac{1}{2}(n-3)$  then  $k > \frac{1}{3}n$  and we may apply Corollary 2.4. Thus  $\mu = 1$  and  $T$  has  $k+1$  pendant edges that form an induced matching. Then  $T$  has just one further vertex  $u$ , and so  $T = S(K_{1,k+1})$  with  $u$  the central vertex. For the converse it suffices to observe that  $S(K_{1,k+1})$  has  $k$  linearly independent 1-eigenvectors. Note that if  $(x_i)$  is a 1-eigenvector then  $x_u = 0$  while  $x_w = x_{w'}$  whenever  $w$  is an endvertex with neighbour  $w'$ . For a fixed endvertex  $v$  and  $k$  choices of  $w \neq v$ , we obtain  $k$  linearly independent eigenvectors by taking  $x_v = x_{v'} = 1$ ,  $x_w = x_{w'} = -1$  and all other  $x_i$  equal to 0.

If  $n$  is even and  $k \geq \frac{1}{2}(n-4)$  then either  $k > \frac{1}{3}n$  or  $(n, k) = (12, 4)$ . In the former case,  $\mu = 1$  by Theorem 2.2. In the latter case, we know that  $\mu^2$  is an integer (since  $k > \frac{1}{4}n$ ), while  $8\mu^2 + 2\lambda_1^2 \leq 22$ , where  $\lambda_1$  is the largest eigenvalue of  $T$ . Now the largest eigenvalue of a tree exceeds the mean degree [2, Theorem 3.8] and so here  $\lambda_1 > \frac{11}{6}$ . Hence always  $\mu = 1$  and by Theorem 2.3,  $T$  has  $k+1$  pendant edges that form an induced matching, say  $ww'$  ( $w \in W$ ) where each vertex  $w$  has degree 1. It follows that  $n = 2k + 4$  and  $T$  has two further vertices  $u, v$  such that either (a)  $u \sim v$  and each vertex  $w'$  is adjacent to precisely one of  $u, v$ , or (b)  $u \not\sim v$ , exactly one vertex  $w'$  is adjacent to both  $u$  and  $v$ , and each of the remaining vertices  $w'$  is adjacent to precisely one of  $u, v$ . In case (a) we can construct  $k$  linearly independent 1-eigenvectors if and only if  $u$  or  $v$  is adjacent to all vertices  $w'$  ( $w \in W$ ); in this situation,  $G$  is the graph described in (iii). In case (b), we cannot construct  $k$  linearly independent 1-eigenvectors, and so the corollary is proved.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Bell F. K., Rowlinson P., On the multiplicities of graph eigenvalues, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **35** (2003), 401-408.
- [2] Cvetković D., Doob M., Sachs H., *Spectra of Graphs*, 3rd edn., Johann Ambrosius Barth (Heidelberg), 1995.
- [3] Cvetković D., Rowlinson P., Simić S., *Spectral Generalizations of Line Graphs*, Cambridge University Press (Cambridge), 2004.
- [4] Heilbronner E., Das Komposition-Prinzip: Eine anschauliche Methode zur elektronentheoretischen Behandlung nicht oder niedrig symmetrischer Molekeln in Rahmen der MO-Theorie, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **36** (1953), 170-188.