

1  
2 **Development of immunity in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*, Walbaum) to**  
3 ***Aeromonas hydrophila* after the dietary application of garlic**

4  
5 **E. J. Nya\*, B. Austin<sup>2</sup>**  
6

7  
8 *School of Life Sciences, John Muir Building, Heriot-Watt University, Riccarton,*

9 *Edinburgh EH14 4AS, Scotland, UK.*

10 <sup>2</sup>Institute of Aquaculture, University of Stirling, Stirling, FK9 4LA, Scotland, UK.

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17 Running title: Immunity to *Aeromonas hydrophila*  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

28 \*Corresponding author.

29 *E-mail address:* ejnelijah@yahoo.co.uk  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36

---

## Abstract

The development and duration of immune protection against *Aeromonas hydrophila* infections with garlic as immunostimulant in rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* was studied. Rainbow trout fingerlings of 14 g average weight were fed with 0 g (= Control), 0.5 g and 1.0 g of garlic 100 g<sup>-1</sup> of feed for 14 days. Physiological factors, biochemical, immunological, hematological parameters and electrolyte indices were evaluated after a further 14, 21 and 28 days before challenge with *Aeromonas hydrophila*. Fourteen days after the cessation of feeding with garlic, mortality rates of 12 % (relative percent survival [RPS] = 86 %) and 16 % (RPS = 80 %) were recorded in groups which received 0.5 g and 1.0 g of garlic 100 g<sup>-1</sup> of feed, respectively, compared to 84 % mortalities in the controls. The corresponding RPS 21 days after ending the feeding regime was 75 % and 68, respectively. One week later, the RPS had dropped to 55% and 46% in the groups fed with 0.5 g and 1.0 g garlic 100 g<sup>-1</sup> of feed, respectively.

**Keywords:** Immunity; Immune defence mechanism; *Aeromonas hydrophila*, Rainbow trout.

---

## 1. Introduction

The basis of this study was an investigation of the duration of protection and immunity against infection with *Aeromonas hydrophila* following the administration of garlic as a feed supplement to rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*, Walbaum) fingerlings. Certainly, the use of immunostimulants as dietary supplements is recognized to improve the non-specific defence mechanism in fish, thus providing resistance to infections [1; 2]. Interestingly, it has been argued that the fish innate immune system lacks memory, and as such the duration of beneficial

immunostimulant induced responses will inevitably be shorter than the specific or adaptive immune response [3]. Also, it has been considered that long-term exposure to immunostimulants lead to immune suppression and tolerance insofar as the immune system becomes de-sensitized thereby losing its sensitivity [4; 5]. However, the use of dietary garlic has certainly led to protection in fish against a range of bacterial fish pathogens, [6; 7 and 8]. Evidence suggests that garlic constituents provide suitable bases for new therapies because of their generalized antimicrobial and immunological properties [9]. The level of protection recorded in tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) following challenge with *A. hydrophila* reflected the concentration used [7].

This study has sought to extend the earlier work [10], by examining the duration of protection of administration of garlic when administered orally, and by detailing the nature of the immunological and physiological responses in rainbow trout.

## **2. Materials and methods**

### *2.1. Fish*

Rainbow trout, of 14 g average wet weight, were obtained from a commercial fish farm in Scotland, and acclimatized in aerated free flowing dechlorinated water at 12°C. The health status was examined immediately upon arrival in the aquaria and at 14 days intervals thereafter [11]. One hundred and twenty (120) fish were randomly distributed into 3 experimental groups following a complete randomized design [CRD; 12], with each group to represent feed treatment of 0g (=Control), 0.5g and 1.0g per 100g of feed.

### *2.2. Feeding regimes*

Oven-dried garlic bulbs were obtained from a local supermarket, crushed using a household garlic press, sieved with the use of appropriate sized wire mesh

sifter and mixed with commercial fish feed (Biomar, Bio-optimal Start) to achieve 0 g (= control), 0.5 g and 1.0 g 100 g<sup>-1</sup> of feed. The modified feed was stored in screw cap bottles at room temperature until needed. The experimental fish groups were fed twice daily to satiation for 14 days. Fish were fed with standard commercial diet after the administration of garlic.

### 2.3. Bacterial pathogen

*A. hydrophila* (AE 57) was obtained from diseased Barramundi (*Lates calcarifer*), isolated on tryptone soya agar (Oxoid), identified biochemically and by DNA sequence homology, and maintained as stocks in 15% (v/v) glycerol at -70°C. For routine use, cultures were grown overnight on TSA at 28°C. Authenticity was verified after Austin and Austin [13]. Broth cultures were prepared in tryptone soya broth (TSB; Oxoid) with overnight incubation at 28°C. Then, the broths were centrifuged at 3000 x g for 10 min at 4°C, before the cells were washed twice in PBS (Oxoid) pH 7.4, and the pellets resuspended in fresh buffer. The concentration was adjusted to 10<sup>6</sup> cells ml<sup>-1</sup> as determined by means of a hemocytometer slide (Improved Neubauer Type, Merck) at a magnification of x400 on a Kyowa light microscope.

### 2.4. Experimental challenge and measurement of immunological parameters

Challenge of 20 fish from the experimental groups and the control was by i.p. injection with 0.1 ml<sup>-1</sup> suspension of *A. hydrophila* in 0.9 % (w/v) saline containing 10<sup>6</sup> cells ml<sup>-1</sup>, 24 h after stopping feeding trials. Previous work had determined the LD 50% to be 1.7 x 10<sup>5</sup> cells/ ml<sup>-1</sup>. Mortalities were monitored over 14 days, and any dead or moribund fish examined bacteriologically to confirm the presence of *A. hydrophila* [11]. The relative percentage survival (RPS) was calculated after [14]. Thus as:

1 – Mortality of treatment group / mortality of control group x100.

Body weight, gutted weight, length (cm<sup>3</sup>) and condition factor (CF) were calculated as outlined by [15]. Sub-groups of 10 fish were used to determine growth performance in which the percentage weight gain and specific growth rate (SGR) were determined according to [16]. Thus:

Wt. gain % = Final wt – Initial wt. /Initial wt. X 100.

SGR = Log<sub>e</sub> of final wt. – Log<sub>e</sub> of Initial wt. / No. of days.

FCR = Feed given (dry wt.) / Body wt. gain (wet wt.).

PER = Net wt. gain (wet wt.) / protein fed.

CF = gutted wt. / length x 100.

### *2.5. Mode of action of the garlic*

Separate groups of 10 rainbow trout obtained from the experimental groups, fed with garlic, as before, and the Control were used to determine immune parameters. Thus, blood was collected by venepuncture, and transferred into vacuette tubes containing heparin as anticoagulant (Greiner) to prevent clotting. This blood was used for determination of hematocrit (Hct), hemoglobin (Hb) content, and total erythrocyte and leucocyte counts. For this, the blood was diluted to 10<sup>-2</sup> and 10<sup>-3</sup> in PBS, and the number of leucocytes and erythrocytes counted [17]. Duplicate blood samples were also collected and allowed to clot at room temperature for 2 h and refrigerated overnight at 4°C before the clotted blood was centrifuged at 3000 x g for 10 min at 4°C, and the serum collected and stored at -70°C until used. Immune parameters such as the lysozyme activity, respiratory burst and serum peroxidase activities were determined following methods previously described [10]. Serum/blood

biochemical parameters were analysed using a Quantichrom™ kit (Bio Assay Systems, Hayward, CA, USA).). Serum total protein was estimated by a method based on an improved Bradford assay [18]. The OD of standard and test samples were measured against a blank in a microplate reader (Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland) at OD<sub>595</sub>. Albumin content was estimated by the bromocresol green binding method [19], and absorbance was taken against a blank at OD<sub>620</sub> in a microplate reader (Tecan). Globulin content was calculated by subtracting albumin values from serum total protein. The albumin/globulin ratio was estimated by dividing albumin values by those of globulin [20].

Electrolytes, i.e. calcium (Ca<sup>++</sup>), magnesium (Mg<sup>++</sup>), sodium (Na<sup>+</sup>), potassium (K<sup>+</sup>) and ferrous iron (Fe<sup>+</sup>) ppm ml<sup>-1</sup>, were determined by flame emission photometry [21], using an automated system – Atomic Absorption Spectrometer (Perkin Elmer) with appropriate standards.

## 2.6. Statistical analyses

Values for each parameter measured were expressed as arithmetic mean ± standard error (SE). Hematological and biochemical parameters were tested using one-way ANOVA, and a comparison of the mean values was done by using Duncan's multiple range tests [22], at the 5 % level of significance. The software programme SPSS (Version 14.0) for Windows was used.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Fish growth

The physiological indices i.e. body weight, length, gutted weight, SGR and weight gain are shown in Table 1. Overall, the experimental groups did not differ significantly (P> 0.05) from each other in respect of body weight gain, length and gutted weight. The specific growth rate (SGR) of the fish 14 days after cessation of

feeding with garlic was  $1.2 \pm 0.1$  in the control group and  $1.2 \pm 0.1$  and  $0.9 \pm 0.3$  in the groups, which received 0.5 and 1.0 g garlic  $100 \text{ g}^{-1}$  of feed, respectively (Table 1). The feed conversion ratio (FCR) and protein efficiency ratio (PER) was also enhanced in experimental groups compared with the control (data not included).

The condition factor (CF) of fish receiving different doses of dietary garlic after withdrawal for 14, 21 and 28 days is shown in Table 3. However, CF was much lower 28 days after the ending of feeding with garlic.

### 3.2. Duration of protection

Experimental challenges at 14, 21 and 28 days after withdrawal of garlic supplemented diet led to a steady reduction in the level of protection in rainbow trout following challenge with *A. hydrophila* (Figure 1a, b and c). Thus 14 days after ending the administration of garlic dosed at  $0.5 \text{ g } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$ , the RPS was 86%, decreasing to 75% and 68% after 21 and 28 days of dietary garlic treatments, respectively (Figure 1a, b and c). In comparison, 14 days after stopping feeding with  $1.0 \text{ g garlic } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$ , the RPS was 80%, reducing to 55% after 21 days, and 46% at 28 days. Generally after challenge, diseased fish displayed abdominal distension, necrosis, ascitic fluid and exophthalmia.

### 3.3. Mode of action of garlic

Compared to the controls, the number of RBC and WBC was significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher in experimental groups, which received  $1.0 \text{ g of garlic } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$  of feed, but not at the lower dose, at 14 days after use of the experimental diet. Thereafter, the number of RBC remained significantly higher than the controls Table 2). Yet for WBC, the number of cells in the group fed with  $0.5 \text{ g garlic } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$  of feed was lower than the controls (Table 2). The use of garlic did not have any

significant effect ( $P < 0.05$ ) on Hb, although Hct was higher in some sampling period when compared with the controls, it was not statistically significant (Table 1).

Dietary garlic led to a negligible effect on the biochemical indices of the treatment groups (Figure 2). In particular, the serum total protein content remained similar to the controls throughout the experimental period (Figure 2).

The production of superoxide anion as a measure of the respiratory burst activity was significantly influenced ( $P < 0.05$ ) by dietary garlic (Figure 3). Furthermore, a significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) increase in respiratory burst activity, i.e.  $0.3 \pm 0.4$  OD, was recorded in fish which received  $0.5 \text{ g garlic } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$  feed, compared to  $0.2 \pm 0.0$  of the controls. Although respiratory burst activity 28 days after feeding with garlic was lower, the data were nevertheless higher than the controls (Figure 3).

There were significant ( $p > 0.05$ ) differences in serum lysozyme activity in the experimental groups, compared with the controls (Fig. 4). Moreover, the activity was 1780 and 1590 units  $/\text{ml}^{-1}$  in fish group which received 0.5 and 1.0 g garlic  $100 \text{ g}^{-1}$  feed respectively at 14 days post dietary garlic withdrawal as compared to 1100 units  $/\text{ml}^{-1}$  in the control. In particular 2 weeks latter i.e. at 28 days, it decreases to 867 and 820 units  $/\text{ml}^{-1}$  for groups which was fed 0.5 and 1.0 g garlic  $100 \text{ g}^{-1}$  feed respectively, compared to 760 units  $/\text{ml}^{-1}$  in the control.

Use of garlic at  $0.5 \text{ g}$  and  $1.0 \text{ g } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$  of feed had no significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) effect on the serum peroxidase activity, as levels declined over the 28 day withdrawal period (Figure 4).

The  $\text{Ca}^{+}$  levels were higher in all groups during feeding with garlic, rather than afterwards, whereas the amounts of  $\text{Mg}^{+}$ ,  $\text{Fe}^{++}$ , K and  $\text{Na}^{+}$  reduced. In contrast, those of the controls remained high (Table 3).



#### 4. Discussion

This study reinforces the view that garlic is beneficial for the control of *A. hydrophila* infection in rainbow trout, and thereby extends the previous study [10], by demonstrating the longer term memory effect after the cessation of the feeding regime. Of relevance to the present study, a previous investigation using brook trout, *Salvelinus fontinalis*, which were administered with chitosan by a 30-min immersion led to reduced protection 14 days afterwards [23]. Moreover by 21 days after concluding the administration of chitosan, there were not any significant differences in the levels of protection with the controls. Certainly, it has been argued that the long-term application of immunostimulants leads to immunosuppression and loss of effect of the compounds [24; 5]. Indeed, it is speculative whether or not a similar effect could have happened in this study.

Previous work using rainbow trout which received dietary garlic for 14 days treatment periods revealed protection against challenge with *A. hydrophila* and enhanced innate defence mechanisms, such as high oxidative radical production by serum neutrophils, proliferation of lymphocytic cells and phagocytic activity of the head kidney macrophage [10]. However, modulation of non-specific defence mechanisms in treated fish may have been chiefly by activation of the released of reactive oxygen species (ROS) by immune cells. This might explain the significant increase ( $P < 0.05$  %) in the respiratory burst activity of the neutrophils, measured by the reduction of NBT to formazan as indicator of superoxide anion ( $O_2^-$ ) production. This reactive oxygen species include superoxide radicals and hydrogen peroxide, which are known to be toxic to pathogenic bacteria [25; 26]. Moreover, the significant difference between the treatment and control groups was similar to the finding of [16], who observed a high NBT activity in rohu *Labeo rohita* juveniles fed with 0.4%

250 dietary yeast RNA. Comparable results were also obtained by [27], in *Cyprinus*  
251 *carpio* which received dietary nucleotide derived from yeast RNA. Moreover, similar  
252 reports of an increase in NBT activity over controls in rohu juveniles fed 0.1%, 0.5 %  
253 and 1.0 % of garlic [8].

254 Furthermore, serum Lysozymes activity plays a key role in the lyses of  
255 bacterial pathogens, activation of Phagocytosis and haemolytic complement activity.  
256 Serum Lysozymes activity presents a first line of defence mechanism, with lytic  
257 factors by preventing adhesion and colonization of bacterial pathogens. Thus,  
258 resulting in the prevention of infections and disease [28; 29]. In this present study, the  
259 serum lysozyme activity was higher in dietary garlic treated groups than the control  
260 14, 21 and 28 days after stopping supplemented feed administrations. Definitely such  
261 profound enhancement in this innate immune factor stem from dietary  
262 supplementation may have provided the observed protection against this pathogen.  
263 Similarly some authors [30], had also observed significantly enhanced Lysozymes  
264 activity after 1, 2 or 3 weeks treatments of Tilapia with medicinal plant *Eclipta alba*  
265 leaf extracts.

266 Certainly, the proliferation rate and number of lymphocytes produced is very  
267 important for the magnitude and duration of protection against disease [31]. This  
268 supports the view that the persistence of an immune activator may be a critical factor  
269 in maintaining long-term protection against disease causing situations. With garlic,  
270 various bioactive compounds have been found to exhibit immunological properties  
271 and are detectable in blood after oral uptake [32; 33 and 34]. Also in comparison to  
272 this study, the CF was reduced in the work involving rainbow trout reported by [14].  
273 Furthermore, it is noteworthy that CF has been regarded as a useful bio-indicator of  
274 stress [35], and is reflected in changes in energy budgets [36]. In the present study, it  
275 is possible that the deterioration in CF may be a consequence of disrupted metabolic  
276 processes, resulting from the withdrawal of garlic from the diet. Furthermore, the

changes in levels of blood electrolyte ions may be explained by the reduced energy metabolism as considered previously by [37]. It is interesting to note that similar results were documented in rainbow trout treated with central nervous seizure agents [38].

In conclusion, this study has affirmed that the protective effect of dietary garlic extends 28 days beyond the period of its application to rainbow trout.

#### **Acknowledgement**

We are grateful to Sean McMenamy for technical assistance, and the Akwa Ibom state University of Technology (AKUTECH) Uyo, Nigeria for financial support.

#### **References**

- 303 [1]. Jeney, G., Jeney, Z. Application of immunostimulants for modulation of non-  
304 specific defense mechanisms in sturgeon hybrid: *Acipenser ruthenus* x *A. baerii*. J.  
305 Appl. Ichthyol. 2002; 18, 416-419.
- 306 [2]. Petrunov, B., Nenkou, P., Shakerdjiisky, R. The role of immunostimulants in  
307 immunotherapy and immunoprophylaxis. Biotechnol. Biotechnol. 2007; 4, 454-  
308 462.
- 309 [3]. Anderson, D. P. Immunostimulants, adjuvants and vaccine carriers in fish,  
310 application to aquaculture. Ann. Rev. Fish Dis. 1992; 2, 281- 307.
- 311 [4]. Bagni, M., Archetti, L., Amadori, M., Marino, G. Effect of long-term oral  
312 administration of an immunostimulant diet on innate immunity in sea bass  
313 *Dicentrarchus labrax*. J. Vet. Med. 2000; B 47, 745- 751.
- 314 [5]. Bricknell, I., Dalmo, R. A. The use of immunostimulants in fish larval  
315 aquaculture. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2005; 19, 457- 472.
- 316 [6]. Delaha, E., Garagusi, V. F. Inhibition of mycobacteria by garlic extracts *Allium*  
317 *sativum*. Antimicrob. Ag. Chemother. 1985; 27, 485- 486.
- 318 [7]. Shalaby, A.M., Khattab, Y., Abdel-Rahman, A. M. Effects of garlic, *Allium*  
319 *sativum* and chloramphenicol on growth performance, physiological parameters  
320 and survival of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* J. Venom. Anim. Toxins Trop.  
321 Dis. 2006; 12, 172-201.
- 322 [8]. Sahu, S., Das, B.K., Mishra, B.K., Pradhan, J., Sarangi, N. Effects of *Allium*  
323 *sativum* on the immunity and survival of *Labeo rohita* infected with *A.*  
324 *hydrophila*. J. Appl. Ichthyol. 2007; 23, 80 – 86.
- 325 [9]. Cavallito, C. J., Bailey, J. H. Allicin, the antibacterial principle of *Allium*  
326 *sativum*.1. Isolation, physical properties and antibacterial action. J. Am. Chem.  
327 Soc. 1944; 66, 1950- 1951.

- 328 [10]. Nya, E.J., Austin, B. Use of garlic (*Allium sativum*) to control *Aeromonas*  
 329 *hydrophila* infections in rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum). J. Fish  
 330 Dis. 2009 (in press).
- 331 [11]. Austin, B., Austin, D. A. Microbiological Examination of Fish and Shellfish.  
 332 Ellis Horwood, Chichester. 1989.
- 333 [12]. Festing, M.F.W., Altman, D. G. Guidelines for the design and statistical analysis  
 334 of experiment using laboratory animals. ILAR Journal 2002; 43, 244-258.
- 335 [13]. Austin, B., Austin, D. A. Bacterial Fish Pathogens: Disease in Farmed and Wild  
 336 Fish, 4<sup>th</sup> Edn. Springer-Praxis, Godalming. 2007.
- 337 [14]. Amend, D. F. Potency testing of fish vaccines. Dev. Biol. Stand. 1981; 49, 447-  
 338 454.
- 339 [15]. White, A., Fletcher, T. C. Seasonal changes in serum glucose and condition of  
 340 the plaice *Pleuronectes platessa* L. Fish Biol. 1985; 26, 755-764
- 341 [16]. Choudhury, D., Pal, A.K., Sahu, N.P., Kumar, S., Das, S., Mukherjee, S.C.  
 342 Dietary yeast RNA supplementation reduces mortality by *Aeromonas hydrophila*  
 343 in Rohu, *Labeo rohita* L juveniles. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2005; 19, 281- 291.
- 344 [17]. Sarder, M.R.I., Thompson, K.D., Penman, D.J., McAndrew, B. J. Immune  
 345 responses of the Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* L. clones. 1. Non-specific  
 346 responses. Dev. Comp. Immunol. 2001; 25, 37- 46.
- 347 [18]. Bradford, M. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantification of microgram  
 348 quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal. Biochem.  
 349 1976; 72, 248 – 354.
- 350 [19]. Kamphuis, J.S., Salden, H.J.M., Zuijderhoudt, F. M. J. Albumin analysis in  
 351 plasma. Tijdschr. Klin. Chem. 2001; 26, 9- 12.
- 352 [20]. Jha, A.K., Pal, A.K., Sahu, N.P., Kumar, S., Mukherjee, S. C. Haemato-  
 353 immunological responses to dietary yeast RNA, w-3fatty acid and  $\beta$ -carotene in  
 354 *Catla catla* juveniles. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2007; 23, 917– 927.

- 355 [21]. Rehulka, J. Influence of astaxanthin on growth rate, condition and some blood  
356 indices of rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. Aquaculture 2000; 190, 27-47.
- 357 [22]. Duncan, D. B. Multiple range and multiple 'F' tests. Biometrics 1955; 11, 1- 42.
- 358 [23]. Anderson, D. P. Duration of protection against *Aeromonas salmonicida* in brook  
359 trout immunostimulated with glucan or chitosan by injection or immersion. Progr.  
360 Fish Cult. 1994; 56, 258-261.
- 361 [24]. Siwicki, A.K., Anderson, D.P., Dixon, O.W., 1990. *In vitro* immunostimulation  
362 of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) spleen cells with levamisole. Dev. Comp.  
363 Immunol. 14, 231- 237.
- 364 [25]. Hardie, L.T., Ellis, A.E., Secombes, C. J. In vitro activation of rainbow trout  
365 macrophages stimulates inhibition of *Renibacterium salmoninarum* growth  
366 concomitant with augmented generation of respiratory burst products, Dis. Aquat.  
367 Org. 1996; 25, 175- 183.
- 368 [26]. Itou, T., Lida, T., Kawatsu, H., 1996. Kinetics of oxygen metabolism during  
369 respiratory burst in Japanese eel neutrophils. Dev. Com. Immunol. 1996; 20, 323-  
370 330
- 371 [27]. Sakai, M., Taniguchi, K., Mamoto, K., Ogawa, H., Tabata, M. Immunostimulant  
372 effect of nucleotide isolated from yeast RNA on carp, *Cyprinus carpio* L. J. Fish  
373 Dis. 2001; 24, 433- 438.
- 374 [28]. Misra Ck, Das J, Pradhan P, Pattnaik S, Sathi S and Mukherjee, S. C.  
375 Changes in lysozymal enzyme activity and protection against *Vibrio* Infection in  
376 *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* (De man) post larvae after bath immunostimulat-  
377 ion with  $\beta$ -glucan. Fish and Shellfish Immunol. 2004; 17, pp. 389- 395.
- 378 [29]. Misra CK, Das BK, Mukherjee SC and Pattnaik P. Effect of Multiple  
379 injections of  $\beta$ -glucan on non-specific immune response and disease resistance in  
380 *Labeo rohita* fingerlings. Fish and Shellfish Immunol. 2006; 20, 305- 319.
- 381 [30]. Christyapita, D, Divyagnaneswari, M and Michael, R. D. oral

382 administration of *Eclipta alba* leaf aqueous extract enhances the non-specific  
 383 immune responses and disease resistance of *Oreochromis mossambicus*.  
 384 Fish and Shellfish Immunol. 2007; 23; (4), pp. 840- 852.  
 385 [31]. Eggset, G., Mikkelsen, H., Killie, J. A. Immunocompetence and duration of  
 386 immunity against *Vibrio salmonicida* and *Aeromonas salmonicida* after  
 387 vaccination of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) at low and high temperatures.  
 388 Fish Shellfish Immunol. 1997; 7, 247-260.  
 389 [32]. Steiner, M., Li, W. Aged garlic extract, a modulator of cardiovascular risk  
 390 factors. J. Nutr. 2001; 131, 980S- 984S.  
 391 [33]. Rose, P., Whiteman, M., Moore, P.K., Zhu, Y. Z. Bioactive S-alk(en)yl cysteine  
 392 sulfoxide metabolites in the genus *Allium*. The chemistry of potential therapeutic  
 393 agents. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2005; 22, 351- 368.  
 394 [34]. Amagase, H. Clarifying the real bioactive constituents of garlic. J. Nutr. 2006;  
 395 136, 716S- 725S.  
 396 [35]. Anderson, M.J., Cackle, D., Beltman, D., Teh, S.J., Okihiro, M.S., Denslow, N.,  
 397 Zelikoff, J. T. Biochemical and toxicopathic biomarkers assessed in smallmouth  
 398 bass recovered from a poly chlorinated biphenyl contaminated river. Biomarkers  
 399 2003; 8, 371- 393.  
 400 [36]. Smolders, R., De Boeck, G., Blust, R. Changes in cellular energy budget as a  
 401 measure of whole effluent toxicity in zebrafish *Danio rerio*. Environ. Toxicol.  
 402 Chem. 2003; 22, 890-899.  
 403 [37]. Lall, S.P. The minerals. In: Halver, J.E., Hardy, R.W. (Eds.), Fish Nutrition,  
 404 Academic press, London, 2002; pp 259-301.  
 405 [38]. Bradbury, S.P., Carlson, R.W., Niemi, G.J., Henry, T.R. Use of respiratory  
 406 cardiovascular responses of rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* in identifying  
 407 acute toxicity syndromes in fish. 4: central nervous seizure agents. Environ.  
 408 Toxicol. Chem. 1991; 10, 115-131

409

410

411

412

413