

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

**Development of immunity in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*, Walbaum) to
Aeromonas hydrophila after the dietary application of garlic**

E. J. Nya*, B. Austin²

*School of Life Sciences, John Muir Building, Heriot-Watt University, Riccarton,
Edinburgh EH14 4AS, Scotland, UK.*

²Institute of Aquaculture, University of Stirling, Stirling, FK9 4LA, Scotland, UK.

Running title: Immunity to *Aeromonas hydrophila*

*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: ejnelijah@yahoo.co.uk

36 **Abstract**

37 The development and duration of immune protection against *Aeromonas*
38 *hydrophila* infections with garlic as immunostimulant in rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus*
39 *mykiss* was studied. Rainbow trout fingerlings of 14 g average weight were fed with 0
40 g (= Control), 0.5 g and 1.0 g of garlic 100 g⁻¹ of feed for 14 days. Physiological
41 factors, biochemical, immunological, hematological parameters and electrolyte
42 indices were evaluated after a further 14, 21 and 28 days before challenge with
43 *Aeromonas hydrophila*. Fourteen days after the cessation of feeding with garlic,
44 mortality rates of 12 % (relative percent survival [RPS] = 86 %) and 16 % (RPS = 80
45 %) were recorded in groups which received 0.5 g and 1.0 g of garlic 100 g⁻¹ of feed,
46 respectively, compared to 84 % mortalities in the controls. The corresponding RPS 21
47 days after ending the feeding regime was 75 % and 68, respectively. One week later,
48 the RPS had dropped to 55% and 46% in the groups fed with 0.5 g and 1.0 g garlic
49 100 g⁻¹ of feed, respectively.

50

51 *Keywords:* Immunity; Immune defence mechanism; *Aeromonas hydrophila*, Rainbow
52 trout.

53

54 **1. Introduction**

55 The basis of this study was an investigation of the duration of protection and
56 immunity against infection with *Aeromonas hydrophila* following the administration
57 of garlic as a feed supplement to rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*, Walbaum)
58 fingerlings. Certainly, the use of immunostimulants as dietary supplements is
59 recognized to improve the non-specific defence mechanism in fish, thus providing
60 resistance to infections [1; 2]. Interestingly, it has been argued that the fish innate
61 immune system lacks memory, and as such the duration of beneficial

62 immunostimulant induced responses will inevitably be shorter than the specific or
63 adaptive immune response [3]. Also, it has been considered that long-term exposure
64 to immunostimulants lead to immune suppression and tolerance insofar as the immune
65 system becomes de-sensitized thereby losing its sensitivity [4; 5]. However, the use of
66 dietary garlic has certainly led to protection in fish against a range of bacterial fish
67 pathogens, [6; 7 and 8]. Evidence suggests that garlic constituents provide suitable
68 bases for new therapies because of their generalized antimicrobial and immunological
69 properties [9]. The level of protection recorded in tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*)
70 following challenge with *A. hydrophila* reflected the concentration used [7].

71 This study has sought to extend the earlier work [10], by examining the
72 duration of protection of administration of garlic when administered orally, and by
73 detailing the nature of the immunological and physiological responses in rainbow
74 trout.

75

76 **2. Materials and methods**

77 *2.1. Fish*

78 Rainbow trout, of 14 g average wet weight, were obtained from a commercial
79 fish farm in Scotland, and acclimatized in aerated free flowing dechlorinated water at
80 12°C. The health status was examined immediately upon arrival in the aquaria and at
81 14 days intervals thereafter [11]. One hundred and twenty (120) fish were randomly
82 distributed into 3 experimental groups following a complete randomized design
83 [CRD; 12], with each group to represent feed treatment of 0g (=Control), 0.5g and
84 1.0g per 100g of feed.

85

86 *2.2. Feeding regimes*

87 Oven-dried garlic bulbs were obtained from a local supermarket, crushed
88 using a household garlic press, sieved with the use of appropriate sized wire mesh

89 sifter and mixed with commercial fish feed (Biomar, Bio-optimal Start) to achieve 0 g
90 (= control), 0.5 g and 1.0 g 100 g⁻¹ of feed. The modified feed was stored in screw cap
91 bottles at room temperature until needed. The experimental fish groups were fed twice
92 daily to satiation for 14 days. Fish were fed with standard commercial diet after the
93 administration of garlic.

94

95 2.3. *Bacterial pathogen*

96 *A. hydrophila* (AE 57) was obtained from diseased Barramundi (*Lates*
97 *calcarifer*), isolated on tryptone soya agar (Oxoid), identified biochemically and by
98 DNA sequence homology, and maintained as stocks in 15% (v/v) glycerol at -70°C.
99 For routine use, cultures were grown overnight on TSA at 28°C. Authenticity was
100 verified after Austin and Austin [13]. Broth cultures were prepared in tryptone soya
101 broth (TSB; Oxoid) with overnight incubation at 28°C. Then, the broths were
102 centrifuged at 3000 x g for 10 min at 4°C, before the cells were washed twice in PBS
103 (Oxoid) pH 7.4, and the pellets resuspended in fresh buffer. The concentration was
104 adjusted to 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹ as determined by means of a hemocytometer slide (Improved
105 Neubauer Type, Merck) at a magnification of x400 on a Kyowa light microscope.

106

107 2.4. *Experimental challenge and measurement of immunological parameters*

108 Challenge of 20 fish from the experimental groups and the control was by i.p.
109 injection with 0.1 ml⁻¹ suspension of *A. hydrophila* in 0.9 % (w/v) saline containing
110 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹, 24 h after stopping feeding trials. Previous work had determined the LD
111 50% to be 1.7 x 10⁵ cells/ ml⁻¹. Mortalities were monitored over 14 days, and any
112 dead or moribund fish examined bacteriologically to confirm the presence of *A.*
113 *hydrophila* [11]. The relative percentage survival (RPS) was calculated after [14].
114 Thus as:

115

116 1 – Mortality of treatment group / mortality of control group x100.

117

118 Body weight, gutted weight, length (cm³) and condition factor (CF) were
119 calculated as outlined by [15]. Sub-groups of 10 fish were used to determine growth
120 performance in which the percentage weight gain and specific growth rate (SGR)
121 were determined according to [16]. Thus:

122

123 $\text{Wt. gain \%} = \text{Final wt} - \text{Initial wt.} / \text{Initial wt.} \times 100.$

124 $\text{SGR} = \text{Log}_e \text{ of final wt.} - \text{Log}_e \text{ of Initial wt.} / \text{No. of days.}$

125 $\text{FCR} = \text{Feed given (dry wt.)} / \text{Body wt. gain (wet wt.).}$

126 $\text{PER} = \text{Net wt. gain (wet wt.)} / \text{protein fed.}$

127 $\text{CF} = \text{gutted wt.} / \text{length} \times 100.$

128

129

130 2.5. *Mode of action of the garlic*

131 Separate groups of 10 rainbow trout obtained from the experimental groups,
132 fed with garlic, as before, and the Control were used to determine immune
133 parameters. Thus, blood was collected by venepuncture, and transferred into vacuette
134 tubes containing heparin as anticoagulant (Greiner) to prevent clotting. This blood
135 was used for determination of hematocrit (Hct), hemoglobin (Hb) content, and total
136 erythrocyte and leucocyte counts. For this, the blood was diluted to 10⁻² and 10⁻³ in
137 PBS, and the number of leucocytes and erythrocytes counted [17]. Duplicate blood
138 samples were also collected and allowed to clot at room temperature for 2 h and
139 refrigerated overnight at 4°C before the clotted blood was centrifuged at 3000 x g for
140 10 min at 4°C, and the serum collected and stored at -70°C until used. Immune
141 parameters such as the lysozyme activity, respiratory burst and serum peroxidase
142 activities were determined following methods previously described [10]. Serum/blood

143 biochemical parameters were analysed using a Quantichrom™ kit (Bio Assay
144 Systems, Hayward, CA, USA). Serum total protein was estimated by a method based
145 on an improved Bradford assay [18]. The OD of standard and test samples were
146 measured against a blank in a microplate reader (Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland) at
147 OD₅₉₅. Albumin content was estimated by the bromocresol green binding method
148 [19], and absorbance was taken against a blank at OD₆₂₀ in a microplate reader
149 (Tecan). Globulin content was calculated by subtracting albumin values from serum
150 total protein. The albumin/globulin ratio was estimated by dividing albumin values by
151 those of globulin [20].

152 Electrolytes, i.e. calcium (Ca⁺⁺), magnesium (Mg⁺⁺), sodium (Na⁺),
153 potassium (K⁺) and ferrous iron (Fe⁺) ppm ml⁻¹, were determined by flame emission
154 photometry [21], using an automated system – Atomic Absorption Spectrometer
155 (Perkin Elmer) with appropriate standards.

156

157 2.6. Statistical analyses

158 Values for each parameter measured were expressed as arithmetic mean ±
159 standard error (SE). Hematological and biochemical parameters were tested using
160 one-way ANOVA, and a comparison of the mean values was done by using Duncan's
161 multiple range tests [22], at the 5 % level of significance. The software programme
162 SPSS (Version 14.0) for Windows was used.

163

164 3. Results

165 3.1. Fish growth

166 The physiological indices i.e. body weight, length, gutted weight, SGR and
167 weight gain are shown in Table 1. Overall, the experimental groups did not differ
168 significantly (P> 0.05) from each other in respect of body weight gain, length and
169 gutted weight. The specific growth rate (SGR) of the fish 14 days after cessation of

170 feeding with garlic was 1.2 ± 0.1 in the control group and 1.2 ± 0.1 and 0.9 ± 0.3 in the
171 groups, which received 0.5 and 1.0 g garlic 100 g^{-1} of feed, respectively (Table 1). The
172 feed conversion ratio (FCR) and protein efficiency ratio (PER) was also enhanced in
173 experimental groups compared with the control (data not included).

174 The condition factor (CF) of fish receiving different doses of dietary garlic
175 after withdrawal for 14, 21 and 28 days is shown in Table 3. However, CF was much
176 lower 28 days after the ending of feeding with garlic.

177

178 3.2. Duration of protection

179 Experimental challenges at 14, 21 and 28 days after withdrawal of garlic
180 supplemented diet led to a steady reduction in the level of protection in rainbow trout
181 following challenge with *A. hydrophila* (Figure 1a, b and c). Thus 14 days after
182 ending the administration of garlic dosed at $0.5 \text{ g } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$, the RPS was 86%,
183 decreasing to 75% and 68% after 21 and 28 days of dietary garlic treatments,
184 respectively (Figure 1a, b and c). In comparison, 14 days after stopping feeding with
185 $1.0 \text{ g } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$, the RPS was 80%, reducing to 55% after 21 days, and 46% at 28
186 days. Generally after challenge, diseased fish displayed abdominal distension,
187 necrosis, ascitic fluid and exophthalmia.

188

189 3.3. Mode of action of garlic

190 Compared to the controls, the number of RBC and WBC was significantly
191 ($P < 0.05$) higher in experimental groups, which received 1.0 g of garlic 100 g^{-1} of
192 feed, but not at the lower dose, at 14 days after use of the experimental diet.
193 Thereafter, the number of RBC remained significantly higher than the controls Table
194 2). Yet for WBC, the number of cells in the group fed with $0.5 \text{ g } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$ of
195 feed was lower than the controls (Table 2). The use of garlic did not have any

196 significant effect ($P < 0.05$) on Hb, although Hct was higher in some sampling period
197 when compared with the controls, it was not statistically significant (Table 1).

198 Dietary garlic led to a negligible effect on the biochemical indices of the
199 treatment groups (Figure 2). In particular, the serum total protein content remained
200 similar to the controls throughout the experimental period (Figure 2).

201 The production of superoxide anion as a measure of the respiratory burst
202 activity was significantly influenced ($P < 0.05$) by dietary garlic (Figure 3).
203 Furthermore, a significant ($P < 0.05$) increase in respiratory burst activity, i.e.
204 0.3 ± 0.4 OD, was recorded in fish which received $0.5 \text{ g garlic } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$ feed, compared
205 to 0.2 ± 0.0 of the controls. Although respiratory burst activity 28 days after feeding
206 with garlic was lower, the data were nevertheless higher than the controls (Figure 3).

207 There were significant ($p > 0.05$) differences in serum lysozyme activity in
208 the experimental groups, compared with the controls (Fig. 4). Moreover, the activity
209 was 1780 and 1590 units $/\text{ml}^{-1}$ in fish group which received 0.5 and 1.0 g garlic 100
210 g^{-1} feed respectively at 14 days post dietary garlic withdrawal as compared to 1100
211 units $/\text{ml}^{-1}$ in the control. In particular 2 weeks latter i.e. at 28 days, it decreases to
212 867 and 820 units $/\text{ml}^{-1}$ for groups which was fed 0.5 and 1.0 g garlic 100 g^{-1} feed
213 respectively, compared to 760 units $/\text{ml}^{-1}$ in the control.

214 Use of garlic at 0.5 g and $1.0 \text{ g } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$ of feed had no significant ($P < 0.05$)
215 effect on the serum peroxidase activity, as levels declined over the 28 day withdrawal
216 period (Figure 4).

217 The Ca^+ levels were higher in all groups during feeding with garlic, rather
218 than afterwards, whereas the amounts of Mg^+ , Fe^{++} , K and Na^+ reduced. In contrast,
219 those of the controls remained high (Table 3).

220

221

222

223

224

225 **4. Discussion**

226 This study reinforces the view that garlic is beneficial for the control of *A.*
227 *hydrophila* infection in rainbow trout, and thereby extends the previous study [10], by
228 demonstrating the longer term memory effect after the cessation of the feeding
229 regime. Of relevance to the present study, a previous investigation using brook trout,
230 *Salvelinus fontinalis*, which were administered with chitosan by a 30-min immersion
231 led to reduced protection 14 days afterwards [23]. Moreover by 21 days after
232 concluding the administration of chitosan, there were not any significant differences
233 in the levels of protection with the controls. Certainly, it has been argued that the
234 long-term application of immunostimulants leads to immunosuppression and loss of
235 effect of the compounds [24; 5]. Indeed, it is speculative whether or not a similar
236 effect could have happened in this study.

237 Previous work using rainbow trout which received dietary garlic for 14 days
238 treatment periods revealed protection against challenge with *A. hydrophila* and
239 enhanced innate defence mechanisms, such as high oxidative radical production by
240 serum neutrophils, proliferation of lymphocytic cells and phagocytic activity of the
241 head kidney macrophage [10]. However, modulation of non-specific defence
242 mechanisms in treated fish may have been chiefly by activation of the released of
243 reactive oxygen species (ROS) by immune cells. This might explain the significant
244 increase ($P < 0.05$ %) in the respiratory burst activity of the neutrophils, measured by
245 the reduction of NBT to formazan as indicator of superoxide anion (O_2^-) production.
246 This reactive oxygen species include superoxide radicals and hydrogen peroxide,
247 which are known to be toxic to pathogenic bacteria [25; 26]. Moreover, the significant
248 difference between the treatment and control groups was similar to the finding of [16],
249 who observed a high NBT activity in rohu *Labeo rohita* juveniles fed with 0.4%

250 dietary yeast RNA. Comparable results were also obtained by [27], in *Cyprinus*
251 *carpio* which received dietary nucleotide derived from yeast RNA. Moreover, similar
252 reports of an increase in NBT activity over controls in rohu juveniles fed 0.1%, 0.5 %
253 and 1.0 % of garlic [8].

254 Furthermore, serum Lysozymes activity plays a key role in the lyses of
255 bacterial pathogens, activation of Phagocytosis and haemolytic complement activity.
256 Serum Lysozymes activity presents a first line of defence mechanism, with lytic
257 factors by preventing adhesion and colonization of bacterial pathogens. Thus,
258 resulting in the prevention of infections and disease [28; 29]. In this present study, the
259 serum lysozyme activity was higher in dietary garlic treated groups than the control
260 14, 21 and 28 days after stopping supplemented feed administrations. Definitely such
261 profound enhancement in this innate immune factor stem from dietary
262 supplementation may have provided the observed protection against this pathogen.
263 Similarly some authors [30], had also observed significantly enhanced Lysozymes
264 activity after 1, 2 or 3 weeks treatments of Tilapia with medicinal plant *Eclipta alba*
265 leaf extracts.

266 Certainly, the proliferation rate and number of lymphocytes produced is very
267 important for the magnitude and duration of protection against disease [31]. This
268 supports the view that the persistence of an immune activator may be a critical factor
269 in maintaining long-term protection against disease causing situations. With garlic,
270 various bioactive compounds have been found to exhibit immunological properties
271 and are detectable in blood after oral uptake [32; 33 and 34]. Also in comparison to
272 this study, the CF was reduced in the work involving rainbow trout reported by [14].
273 Furthermore, it is noteworthy that CF has been regarded as a useful bio-indicator of
274 stress [35], and is reflected in changes in energy budgets [36]. In the present study, it
275 is possible that the deterioration in CF may be a consequence of disrupted metabolic
276 processes, resulting from the withdrawal of garlic from the diet. Furthermore, the

277 changes in levels of blood electrolyte ions may be explained by the reduced energy
278 metabolism as considered previously by [37]. It is interesting to note that similar
279 results were documented in rainbow trout treated with central nervous seizure agents
280 [38].

281 In conclusion, this study has affirmed that the protective effect of dietary
282 garlic extends 28 days beyond the period of its application to rainbow trout.

283

284 **Acknowledgement**

285 We are grateful to Sean McMenemy for technical assistance, and the
286 Akwa Ibom state University of Technology (AKUTECH) Uyo, Nigeria for
287 financial support.

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301 **References**

302

- 303 [1]. Jeney, G., Jeney, Z. Application of immunostimulants for modulation of non-
304 specific defense mechanisms in sturgeon hybrid: *Acipenser ruthenus* x *A. baerii*. J.
305 Appl. Ichthyol. 2002; 18, 416-419.
- 306 [2]. Petrunov, B., Nenkou, P., Shakerdjiisky, R. The role of immunostimulants in
307 immunotherapy and immunoprophylaxis. Biotechnol. Biotechnol. 2007; 4, 454-
308 462.
- 309 [3]. Anderson, D. P. Immunostimulants, adjuvants and vaccine carriers in fish,
310 application to aquaculture. Ann. Rev. Fish Dis. 1992; 2, 281- 307.
- 311 [4]. Bagni, M., Archetti, L., Amadori, M., Marino, G. Effect of long-term oral
312 administration of an immunostimulant diet on innate immunity in sea bass
313 *Dicentrarchus labrax*. J. Vet. Med. 2000; B 47, 745- 751.
- 314 [5]. Bricknell, I., Dalmo, R. A. The use of immunostimulants in fish larval
315 aquaculture. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2005; 19, 457- 472.
- 316 [6]. Delaha, E., Garagusi, V. F. Inhibition of mycobacteria by garlic extracts *Allium*
317 *sativum*. Antimicrob. Ag. Chemother. 1985; 27, 485- 486.
- 318 [7]. Shalaby, A.M., Khattab, Y., Abdel-Rahman, A. M. Effects of garlic, *Allium*
319 *sativum* and chloramphenicol on growth performance, physiological parameters
320 and survival of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* J. Venom. Anim. Toxins Trop.
321 Dis. 2006; 12, 172-201.
- 322 [8]. Sahu, S., Das, B.K., Mishra, B.K., Pradhan, J., Sarangi, N. Effects of *Allium*
323 *sativum* on the immunity and survival of *Labeo rohita* infected with *A.*
324 *hydrophila*. J. Appl. Ichthyol. 2007; 23, 80 – 86.
- 325 [9]. Cavallito, C. J., Bailey, J. H. Allicin, the antibacterial principle of *Allium*
326 *sativum*.1. Isolation, physical properties and antibacterial action. J. Am. Chem.
327 Soc. 1944; 66, 1950- 1951.

- 328 [10]. Nya, E.J., Austin, B. Use of garlic (*Allium sativum*) to control *Aeromonas*
329 *hydrophila* infections in rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum). J. Fish
330 Dis. 2009 (in press).
- 331 [11]. Austin, B., Austin, D. A. Microbiological Examination of Fish and Shellfish.
332 Ellis Horwood, Chichester. 1989.
- 333 [12]. Festing, M.F.W., Altman, D. G. Guidelines for the design and statistical analysis
334 of experiment using laboratory animals. ILAR Journal 2002; 43, 244-258.
- 335 [13]. Austin, B., Austin, D. A. Bacterial Fish Pathogens: Disease in Farmed and Wild
336 Fish, 4th Edn. Springer-Praxis, Godalming. 2007.
- 337 [14]. Amend, D. F. Potency testing of fish vaccines. Dev. Biol. Stand. 1981; 49, 447-
338 454.
- 339 [15]. White, A., Fletcher, T. C. Seasonal changes in serum glucose and condition of
340 the plaice *Pleuronectes platessa* L. Fish Biol. 1985; 26, 755-764
- 341 [16]. Choudhury, D., Pal, A.K., Sahu, N.P., Kumar, S., Das, S., Mukherjee, S.C.
342 Dietary yeast RNA supplementation reduces mortality by *Aeromonas hydrophila*
343 in Rohu, *Labeo rohita* L juveniles. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2005; 19, 281- 291.
- 344 [17]. Sarder, M.R.I., Thompson, K.D., Penman, D.J., McAndrew, B. J. Immune
345 responses of the Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* L. clones. 1. Non-specific
346 responses. Dev. Comp. Immunol. 2001; 25, 37- 46.
- 347 [18]. Bradford, M. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantification of microgram
348 quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal. Biochem.
349 1976; 72, 248 – 354.
- 350 [19]. Kamphuis, J.S., Salden, H.J.M., Zuijderhoudt, F. M. J. Albumin analysis in
351 plasma. Tijdschr. Klin. Chem. 2001; 26, 9- 12.
- 352 [20]. Jha, A.K., Pal, A.K., Sahu, N.P., Kumar, S., Mukherjee, S. C. Haemato-
353 immunological responses to dietary yeast RNA, w-3fatty acid and β -carotene in
354 *Catla catla* juveniles. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2007; 23, 917– 927.

- 355 [21]. Rehulka, J. Influence of astaxanthin on growth rate, condition and some blood
356 indices of rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. *Aquaculture* 2000; 190, 27-47.
- 357 [22]. Duncan, D. B. Multiple range and multiple 'F' tests. *Biometrics* 1955; 11, 1- 42.
- 358 [23]. Anderson, D. P. Duration of protection against *Aeromonas salmonicida* in brook
359 trout immunostimulated with glucan or chitosan by injection or immersion. *Progr.*
360 *Fish Cult.* 1994; 56, 258-261.
- 361 [24]. Siwicki, A.K., Anderson, D.P., Dixon, O.W., 1990. *In vitro* immunostimulation
362 of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) spleen cells with levamisole. *Dev. Comp.*
363 *Immunol.* 14, 231- 237.
- 364 [25]. Hardie, L.T., Ellis, A.E., Secombes, C. J. In vitro activation of rainbow trout
365 macrophages stimulates inhibition of *Renibacterium salmoninarum* growth
366 concomitant with augmented generation of respiratory burst products, *Dis. Aquat.*
367 *Org.* 1996; 25, 175- 183.
- 368 [26]. Itou, T., Lida, T., Kawatsu, H., 1996. Kinetics of oxygen metabolism during
369 respiratory burst in Japanese eel neutrophils. *Dev. Com. Immunol.* 1996; 20, 323-
370 330
- 371 [27]. Sakai, M., Taniguchi, K., Mamoto, K., Ogawa, H., Tabata, M. Immunostimulant
372 effect of nucleotide isolated from yeast RNA on carp, *Cyprinus carpio L.* *J. Fish*
373 *Dis.* 2001; 24, 433- 438.
- 374 [28]. Misra Ck, Das J, Pradhan P, Pattnaik S, Sathi S and Mukherjee, S. C.
375 Changes in lysozymal enzyme activity and protection against *Vibrio* Infection in
376 *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* (De man) post larvae after bath immunostimulat-
377 ion with β -glucan. *Fish and Shellfish Immunol.* 2004; 17, pp. 389- 395.
- 378 [29]. Misra CK, Das BK, Mukherjee SC and Pattnaik P. Effect of Multiple
379 injections of β -glucan on non-specific immune response and disease resistance in
380 *Labeo rohita* fingerlings. *Fish and Shellfish Immunol.* 2006; 20, 305- 319.
- 381 [30]. Christyapita, D, Divyagnaneswari, M and Michael, R. D. oral

382 administration of *Eclipta alba* leaf aqueous extract enhances the non-specific
383 immune responses and disease resistance of *Oreochromis mossambicus*.
384 *Fish and Shellfish Immunol.* 2007; 23; (4), pp. 840- 852.

385 [31]. Eggset, G., Mikkelsen, H., Killie, J. A. Immunocompetence and duration of
386 immunity against *Vibrio salmonicida* and *Aeromonas salmonicida* after
387 vaccination of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) at low and high temperatures.
388 *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 1997; 7, 247-260.

389 [32]. Steiner, M., Li, W. Aged garlic extract, a modulator of cardiovascular risk
390 factors. *J. Nutr.* 2001; 131, 980S- 984S.

391 [33]. Rose, P., Whiteman, M., Moore, P.K., Zhu, Y. Z. Bioactive S-alk(en)yl cysteine
392 sulfoxide metabolites in the genus *Allium*. The chemistry of potential therapeutic
393 agents. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 2005; 22, 351- 368.

394 [34]. Amagase, H. Clarifying the real bioactive constituents of garlic. *J. Nutr.* 2006;
395 136, 716S- 725S.

396 [35]. Anderson, M.J., Cackle, D., Beltman, D., Teh, S.J., Okihiro, M.S., Denslow, N.,
397 Zelikoff, J. T. Biochemical and toxicopathic biomarkers assessed in smallmouth
398 bass recovered from a poly chlorinated biphenyl contaminated river. *Biomarkers*
399 2003; 8, 371- 393.

400 [36]. Smolders, R., De Boeck, G., Blust, R. Changes in cellular energy budget as a
401 measure of whole effluent toxicity in zebrafish *Danio rerio*. *Environ. Toxicol.*
402 *Chem.* 2003; 22, 890-899.

403 [37]. Lall, S.P. The minerals. In: Halver, J.E., Hardy, R.W. (Eds.), *Fish Nutrition*,
404 Academic press, London, 2002; pp 259-301.

405 [38]. Bradbury, S.P., Carlson, R.W., Niemi, G.J., Henry, T.R. Use of respiratory
406 cardiovascular responses of rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* in identifying
407 acute toxicity syndromes in fish. 4: central nervous seizure agents. *Environ.*
408 *Toxicol. Chem.* 1991; 10, 115-131

409

410

411

412

413